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Abstract

PURPOSE. To determine the size and regional distribution of lipoprotein-like particles (LLPs) that accumulate with age in Bruch’s membrane (BrM).

METHODS. The quick-freeze/deep-etch method was used to prepare specimens of human BrM (ages 27-78) for electron microscopic examination. We used stereologic methods to analyze the resulting micrographs and determine the age-related changes of the LLP volume fraction and diameter distribution in various locations in BrM.

RESULTS. The volume fraction occupied by LLPs was found to increase monotonically with age in both the inner collagenous layer (ICL) and elastic layer (EL) but not in the outer collagenous layer (OCL). The mass of total LLP-associated lipids in BrM also increased with age. There was a modest increase in LLP size with age.

CONCLUSIONS. The pattern of accumulation of particles was consistent with a retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) source for the LLPs. This would explain why once the EL and ICL were filled with particles, LLPs continued to accumulate near the RPE but no further accumulation was found in the OCL. The quantity of LLP-associated lipids found in BrM accounts for a large portion of the accumulated lipids measured in this tissue. The age related increase in LLP size was likely due to the higher particle volume fraction in older eyes promoting the probability of particle fusion.
INTRODUCTION

Bruch’s membrane (BrM) is a thin layer of connective tissue located between the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and choroid. This tissue collects abundant quantities of lipid-rich deposits with advancing age.\textsuperscript{1-5} Higher quantities of lipid deposits are found in the macular region,\textsuperscript{2,3,6} and these deposits are postulated to compromise the metabolic transport through this region that is important for the health of RPE and photoreceptor cells.\textsuperscript{4,7-12}

In our previous studies, we used the quick-freeze/deep-etch (QFDE) technique, a method that preserves the ultrastructure of BrM to characterize the age-related changes of the tissue.\textsuperscript{4-6} We found that two major types of deposits, lipoprotein-like particles (LLPs) and small granules, accumulate with age in BrM.\textsuperscript{5} LLPs were of particular interest because they are a lipid-rich material.\textsuperscript{5,13}

Our previous work\textsuperscript{4-5} suggested that the age-related accumulation of LLPs in BrM first fills the interfibrillar spacing of the elastic layer (EL) and then progressively fills the inner collagenous layer (ICL), ultimately leading to the formation of a “lipid wall” between the ICL and the basal lamina of the RPE (BL-RPE). While LLPs were found in the outer collagenous layer (OCL) in younger eyes, no further age-related accumulation was seen in this region. These observations suggested that the source of the LLPs was in the RPE.

The goal in the current study was to examine this result quantitatively. We used stereological methods to determine the volume fraction of LLPs seen in the images of ICL, EL and OCL prepared by QFDE. As our previous studies indicated that the LLP sizes were not uniform and that the LLPs were capable of fusing to form larger particles,\textsuperscript{5} we also determined the particle diameter distribution in each of the three central layers of BrM. These studies allowed us to demonstrate the age-related variations of the LLPs in human macular BrM.
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**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Human Eye Tissue**

Human eye tissues used in this study were obtained from Alabama Eye Bank and preserved within 4 hours post-mortem. Eyes containing drusen larger than 63 µm or any other grossly visible chorioretinal pathology of significance were excluded. In addition, we excluded eyes from donors with diabetes or receiving artificial respiration longer than 5 days. A total of 12 normal eyes, aged from 27 to 78 yr with two eyes from each decade of human life as previously described, were analyzed (Table 1).

**Tissue Processing**

After the removal of the anterior segment of each eye, the posterior segment was preserved by immersion in 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 1% paraformaldehyde for at least 24 hours. We then dissected the macular region, including the retina, RPE, BrM, the choroid, and the sclera into sixteen 2x2 mm square blocks for QFDE and thin-sectioning processing.

For tissue blocks processed by QFDE, the retina was carefully removed from the tissue using fine forceps. The remaining RPE-BrM-choroid-sclera complex was slammed frozen in liquid nitrogen (-196°C) using a Leica EM MM80E (Leica Microsystems Inc., Bannockburn, IL). We then transfer the frozen tissue, with the RPE side facing up, into a freeze-fracture/deep-etch device (Cressington CFE-60, Cressington Scientific Instruments Ltd., Watford UK) held at a vacuum level of 10⁻⁷ mbar. The tissue block was fractured at low oblique angles using a cold razor blade and then etched at -95°C for 25 minutes to reveal BrM. Rotary shadowing using platinum/carbon mixture at 20° to the tissue surface and backed by carbon from above was used to make a replica of exposed BrM ultrastructure. The replica along with the tissue was immersed into the digestion solution (water/bleach = 1:1) for at least two hours to remove the biological
Huang et al – Analysis of lipoprotein-like particle accumulation in Bruch’s membrane tissue. The remaining replica pieces were picked up by copper hexagonal grids, washed in water, air dried, and examined using a JEOL-100 CX (JEOL USA, Peabody MA) transmission electron microscope. Stereo micrograph pairs (±6°) of original magnification of ×8000 of the QFDE replica were scanned (AGFA Duoscan T2500) in TIFF mode. In order to improve image contrast, we use Photoshop CS (Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose CA) to equalize the digitized images.

Thin-sectioning TEM preparation was also applied to one or two tissue blocks of each eye. In this preparation, the fixed tissue blocks were postfixed either in 1% osmium and 0.125% potassium ferricyanide or by osmium-tannic acid-paraphenylenediamine (OTAP). One micrometer-thick sections of the tissue were stained with 1% toluidine-blue-O in 2% sodium borohydrate. We examined the thin sections using a JEOL1200 EXII (JEOL USA, Peabody MA) or a Hitachi 7000 (Hitachi High Technology America, Pleasanton CA) electron microscope. Representative negatives of BrM cross-sections (Kodak EM film 4489, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester NY) were scanned with a PowerLook 1100 scanner and Umax Magiscan 4.5 (Umax Technology, Dallas TX).

Image Processing and Stereological Analysis

Since very few LLPs were observed in the BL-RPE and the basal lamina of the choriocapillaris (BL-CC), only images from the ICL, EL, and OCL were selected for LLP quantification. For each layer of each eye, at least three QFDE images were used to evaluate the LLP volume fraction seen in that layer. The OCL images of a 50 yr eye were not available due to technical difficulties. Images of the lipid wall were not included in these evaluations, as the depth of field necessary for the stereology could not be determined from these densely packed images.
The depth of field of QFDE images was greater than the characteristic size of the objects being measured in the images. As such, since the images are two-dimensional projections of a three-dimensional set of objects, stereological correction is required to determine the volume fraction of LLPs in an image. Overby and Johnson\textsuperscript{15} developed methods for determining the volume fraction of a set of three-dimensional objects ($\phi$) from the volume fraction measured on two-dimensional projections of these objects ($\phi'$). We used their methodology, but considered only the volume fraction of the LLPs in images of Bruch’s membrane.

To determine $\phi$ of the LLPs in a region, we required measurements of the fraction of the image covered by LLPs ($\phi'$), the total perimeter of these particles per unit image area ($\alpha'$), and the depth of field of the image ($D_f$). In order to evaluate $\phi'$ and $\alpha'$ for a particular image, the LLPs were visually identified and then traced using a mouse. An example is shown on Figure 1. ImageJ (NIH) was then used to determine the area and perimeter of each LLP. For each micrograph, the area and perimeter of all LLPs in that micrograph were summed and then divided by the total image area to obtain the values of $\phi'$ and $\alpha'$ of the image. The values of $\phi'$ and $\alpha'$ of each layer of each eye were determined by the weighted average of $\phi'$ and $\alpha'$ values of each image, with the image area as the weighted factor.

The detailed method to measure $D_f$ of a QFDE images is described in Overby et al.\textsuperscript{16} In the current study, for each EM image evaluated for $\phi'$ and $\alpha'$, three $500 \times 500$ nm regions were randomly selected in the image. Stereo images ($\pm 6^\circ$) of the region were overlapped in Photoshop CS. Two relatively nearby features on a micrograph were identified (within 100 nm of one another) and the distance between these two features was measured on both stereo images using ImageJ. The difference between these two length ($\Delta l$) could then be related to the vertical distance between these two structures using the relationship $\Delta y = \Delta l / 2 \sin \theta$ ($\theta = 6^\circ$). Three such measurements were made on each of the 3 regions of each micrograph. The depth of the field $D_f$
The following equations\(^\text{15}\) were used to relate the measured parameters to the volume fraction of the LLPs in each layer of each eye:

\[
\phi' = 1 - (1 - \phi)\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha D_f}{4(1 - \phi)}\right)
\]

(1)

\[
\alpha' = \frac{\alpha}{4} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha D_f}{4(1 - \phi)}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha D_f}{3\pi\phi} + \frac{\alpha D_f}{4(1 - \phi)}\right)
\]

(2)

The form of equations (1) and (2) are appropriate for spherically shaped particles,\(^\text{15}\) a reasonable approximation for LLPs. Once the values of \(\phi', \alpha', \) and \(D_f\) were determined, only two unknowns, \(\phi\) and \(\alpha\), remained. The two unknown values were then calculated by solving equation (1) and (2) using Matlab (MathWorks, Natick MA).

**Determine LLP Diameter and Size Distribution**

Only individual, non-overlapped particles seen in the images were considered for the determination of the LLP diameters. The projected area of a particle \((a)\) was measured using ImageJ. Because most of LLPs seen in BrM prepared by QFDE were not sectioned by fracturing (as determined from the particle diameter distributions such as seen in Figure 6), stereological correction was not used for the measured particle areas. The particle diameter \(D\) was determined from the relation: \(a = \pi D^2/4\), as LLPs were considered as spheroids in the current study. The average value of \(D\) for LLPs was determined in each of the three layers (ICL, EL and OCL) of each eye.
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To determine the distribution of particle sizes, the LLPs in a layer of a particular eye were divided into groups with each group containing particles of similar diameters in 10 nm intervals from 0 to 300 nm. To generate the diameter distribution, the number of particles in each interval was divided by the total number of LLPs in that layer of that eye. For each layer, the age-related changes in LLP diameter distribution were presented in three groups: 20-40 yr, 40-60 yr, and 60-80 yr. The histogram of each age group was obtained from the average of the four eyes in that group, except the OCL of 40-60 yr that contained only three sample eyes.

Estimate of the Total Quantity of LLP-associated Lipids in BrM

Holz et al. reported values for the total lipid content of macular BrM and choroid. We used the morphometrically-determined values of LLP size and volume in each layer to estimate the total quantity of LLP-associated lipid in BrM ($Q$) for comparison to their measurements.

The total quantity of lipid in LLPs found in BrM was estimated as:

$$Q = \sum_i \phi_i \times l_i \times A \times \sigma \times 0.85$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $i$ represents the individual layers of BrM (ICL, EL, OCL), $\phi_i$ is the volume fraction of lipid in the $i^{th}$ layer, $l_i$ is the thickness of the $i^{th}$ layer, $A$ is the tissue area, and $\sigma$ is the density of the LLP particles. We assumed that the density of the LLPs and the lipid-protein ratio of each LLP were similar to the average of LDL and VLDL. Therefore the value of $\sigma$ was set equal to 1 g/ml, and the constant 0.85 was used as an estimate of the lipid fraction of a LLP. In order to compare our results to Holz et al., we assumed the tissue area $A$ as a 7 mm diameter circle since a trephine of the same diameter was used to obtain tissues in that study.
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The layer thickness of the ICL, EL and OCL were measured from three cross-section thin-sectioning TEM images of each eye. The evaluator was unaware of the donor age when measuring the layer thickness. The measurements were taken using a vernier caliper (BEL-ART Scienceware) to evaluate the thickness of each layer seen on three 7500× micrographs of BrM cross-sections expanded onto A3+ size (13” ×19”) papers. We then measured the layer thickness of five randomly selected locations on each micrograph print. Measurement of the OCL thickness avoided the intercapillary pillars. The thickness of each layer of each eye was determined as the average value of the fifteen measurements on TEM images.

**Statistical Analysis.**

Statistical comparisons between groups were done using a two-tailed Student t-test. Linear regression was used to investigate correlations between variables and to determine correlation coefficients (r) and significance of such correlations (p). We considered results as significant for p < 0.05.
RESULTS

Age-related changes of LLP volume fraction in individual layers

The results of the stereological analysis of the images of human BrM prepared by QFDE were listed in Table 2. Figure 2 showed the age-related changes of \( \phi \) in the different layers of BrM. The LLP volume fraction of both the ICL (\( p = 0.001 \)) and EL (\( p = 0.01 \)) increased monotonically with donor age (Fig. 2A and B). However, unlike the ICL and EL, the \( \phi \) value of the OCL appeared to increase before age 60 and then decrease thereafter (Fig. 2C). Figure 2D showed the data for the OCL when separating the samples into three groups with each group covered two decades of donor ages. Use of the Student’s t-test indicated significant differences between the averaged \( \phi \) values in both the 20-40 yr vs. 40-60 yr (\( p = 0.006 \)) and the 40-60 yr vs. 60-80 yr (\( p = 0.046 \)) groups, showing the highest value of \( \phi \) in the 40-60 yr group.

Comparison between layers

In our previous study, we reported that the distribution of LLP depositions appeared different from layer to layer as did the evolution of these deposits with increasing donor age. In order to further examine this issue, we compared the \( \phi \) values of the different layers in three groups of eyes, under 40, 40-60, and over 60 yr. In eyes 20-40 yr, the \( \phi \) values in the EL (2.1% ± 1.0%, mean ± SD, \( n = 4 \)) and OCL (1.4% ± 0.8%, \( n = 4 \)) were higher than in the ICL (0.8% ± 0.3%, \( n = 4 \)) (Fig. 3A), with a significant difference seen between the EL and ICL (\( p = 0.042 \)). In the 40-60 yr group, the \( \phi \) values in three layers were all similar, with the EL again having the highest concentration of LLPs (ICL: 7.2% ± 4.5%, \( n = 4 \); EL: 9.3% ± 2.7%, \( n = 4 \); OCL: 7.0% ± 2.3%, \( n = 3 \)) (Fig. 3B). In the 60-80 yr group, the \( \phi \) values of both the ICL and EL were higher than in the younger eyes (12.7% ± 3.8% (\( n = 4 \)) and 13.7% ± 5.6% (\( n = 4 \)), respectively), and
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they were significantly higher than the \( \phi \) value of the OCL (3.2\% \pm 1.6\% \( (n = 4); p = 0.003 \) for

ICL vs. OCL; \( p = 0.011 \) for EL vs. OCL) (Fig. 3C).

Total LLP-Associated Lipids in BrM

With values of the volume fraction \( \phi \) in each of the layers determined, we could evaluate

the quantity of LLP-associated lipids in macular BrM of each eye by using equation (3). As the

basal lamina of the RPE and choriocapillaris contained very few LLPs\(^5\), the small contribution of

these layers to the total quantity of LLP-associated lipids was neglected. Figure 4 shows that the

estimated quantity of LLP-associated lipids in BrM (\( Q \)) increased with donor age, as expected (\( p

= 0.001 \)). Note that these values do not include the LLPs in the lipid walls found in the oldest

eyes. We find both the trend and the level of the \( Q \) values (0.6 – 13.0 \( \mu \)g) are in good agreement

with the results for the lipids in BrM/choroid measured by Holz et al.\(^2\)

Size distribution of LLPs and age-related changes

The age-related changes of average particle diameter \( D \) in individual layers are shown in

Figure 5. Particle diameter varied in size from approximately 40 to 100 nm without a significant

tendency to increase with donor age. Examining the size distribution of LLP (Fig. 6) indicated

that the distribution of particle size in all three layers broadened between ages 20-40 yr and 40-

60 yr. However, the distribution in the EL and OCL did not appear to change much between ages

40-60 yr and 60-80 yr. We have previously reported that LLPs appear to fuse to form larger

particles.\(^5\) Since LLP fusion might be promoted by higher LLP volume fraction, we examined

the correlation between LLP volume fraction and average particle diameter in the different

layers. As shown in Figure 7, a significant relationship (\( p = 0.013 \)) was found, but the correlation

coefficient between these two parameters is not very strong (\( r = 0.41 \)).
In this study, we showed that a major age-related accumulation of lipoprotein-like particles occurred in the ICL and EL of BrM with a lesser accumulation in the OCL (Fig. 2). We did not find a significant increase of the average LLP size with aging. In addition, we were able to estimate the total amount of LLP-associated lipid accumulated in Bruch’s membrane. The estimated lipid amount increased with donor age and was similar to the measurements of the total lipid content of BrM-choroid using a direct assay approach (Fig. 4).^2

A prominent result of this study was the steady increase of LLP volume fraction with donor age in the ICL and EL (Fig. 2). However, in the OCL, the volume of particles in this region appeared to increase in younger eyes but then decrease in older tissues. The increase of LLP amounts in ICL and EL with age eventually made these two regions the major locations of LLP deposition in BrM (Fig. 3).

The mechanism causing the inhomogeneous distribution of LLP accumulation in the layers of BrM remains to be determined. One explanation may relate to the origin and direction of transport of these particles. In our previous ultrastructural characterization of the LLP accumulation process in BrM, we postulated that the LLPs were likely originated as waste products secreted from the RPE for transport to the choriocapillaris. As such, when the interfibrillar spaces of the EL and ICL become filled with LLPs and other debris^5, further transport through BrM would be limited by this obstruction, and thus LLPs would begin to accumulate in the ICL region, ultimately leading to formation of the lipid wall.

Not only would this mechanism explain the relatively rapid increase in the LLP content of the ICL after age 40, but might also explain why the age-related change in the volume fraction of LLP in the OCL shows a very different trend (Figure 2C). Since particles in the OCL still have access to the choriocapillaris and intercapillary pillars, they can be cleared over time from this region, while further LLP transport into this region is inhibited by obstruction at the EL.
Support for an RPE origin of LLP particles is found in recent studies of the cholesterol composition of LLPs found in BrM. Li et al. demonstrated that upon density gradient ultracentrifuge these particles contain a cholesterol profile distinct from that of plasma lipoproteins (including low-density lipoproteins, LDLs) and postulated that these particles originated in the RPE. Further support for this theory may come from our findings of the distribution of LLP diameters (Fig. 6). The vast majority of the particles are much larger than size of LDL particles (23 nm), even in the youngest eyes examined. This is true in all three layers examined. This result suggests that, unlike other connective tissues in the body, the inclusions seen in BrM are unlikely to be plasma-originated LDLs.

We estimated the total quantity of lipids in the LLP that accumulated with age in BrM by using the volume fraction data and the thickness of each layer measured in donor eyes. Since we selected the highest value of the depth of the field measured in QFDE images and did not include the lipid wall in our calculations, our estimate of lipid amount in macular BrM is conservative. Nevertheless, we find the quantity of LLP-associated lipids in the tissue increases with age (Fig. 4) and is in a similar range as the total lipid amount obtained from lipid extraction method and thin-layer chromatography assay. We caution that this comparison involved assay results which remain to be confirmed, both with regard to the absolute levels and the composition of the lipids recovered. Despite these shortcomings, the overall similarity between the morphological and biochemical results suggests that the LLPs may account for a large portion of the lipids that accumulate with age in BrM.

The mechanism of the accumulation of LLPs in BrM remains unknown. Nevertheless, our results suggest that the RPE-originated lipid-rich materials are collected with aging and represent a large portion of lipids found in the tissue. The accumulation of these particles likely leads to the formation of a hydrophobic barrier within BrM, compromising the metabolic transport
through the area. This particle accumulation is also likely responsible for the age-related increase in hydraulic resistivity that has been reported. Further studies are warranted in order to investigate the mechanism of deposition and the influence this deposition has on transport processes in this region.
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**Figure Legends**

1. The LLPs seen in QFDE images of the EL of a 34 year-old eye. (A) The LLPs (arrows) have similar gray scale values as other ultrastructural features. (B) Particle content is cleared to make LLPs stand out. (C) After inverting and thresholding the image, only the LLPs remain. Scale bar = 100 nm.

2. The LLP volume fractions as a function of age in (A) ICL, (B) EL, and (C) OCL of BrM (n = 12 for A and B, n = 11 for C). (D) Comparison of OCL LLP volume fractions among different age groups. Asterisks indicate significant differences.

3. Comparison of the LLP volume fractions in the ICL, EL, and OCL of (A) 20-40 yr, (B) 40-60 yr, and (C) 60-80 yr eyes. Error bars show the standard deviations. Asterisks indicate significant differences.

4. The total quantity of LLP-associated lipids in BrM as a function of age.

5. The average LLP diameter as a function of age in (A) ICL, (B) EL, and (C) OCL.

6. The LLP diameter distribution in the different layers of BrM for younger eyes (20-40 yr old, solid blue line), middle aged eyes (40-60 yr old, dashed magenta line) and older eyes (60-80 yr old, dotted green line). n = 4 for all groups except for the data of OCL, 40-60 yr old (n = 3).

7. LLP particle diameter as a function of volume fraction in the different layers (all eyes).
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### TABLE 1: Sample Eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES**  
F = Female, M = Male  
C = Caucasian, AA = African American
TABLE 2: Depth of field ($D_f$) and LLP volume fraction ($\phi$) measured by image analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Age</th>
<th>ICL $D_f$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>EL $D_f$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>OCL $D_f$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N/A: not available