# Investigation of Crouzeix's Conjecture via Nonsmooth Optimization 

Michael L. Overton<br>Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences<br>New York University

Joint work with
Anne Greenbaum, University of Washington and Adrian Lewis, Cornell

Workshop in Honor of Don Goldfarb
Huatulco, Jan 2018

| Crouzeix's |
| :--- |
| Conjecture |
| The Field of Values |
| Examples |
| Example, continued |
| Crouzeix's |
| Conjecture |
| Crouzeix and |
| Palencia's Theorems |
| Special Cases |
| Computing the Field |
| of Values |
| Johnson's Algorithm |
| Finds the Extreme |
| Points |
| Chebfun |
| Example, continued |
| The Crouzeix Ratio |
| Computing the |
| Crouzeix Ratio |
| Nonsmooth |
| Optimization of |
| the Crouzeix Ratio |
| Nonsmooth Analysis |
| of the Crouzeix |
| Ratio |

# Crouzeix's Conjecture 

## The Field of Values

## Crouzeix's

Conjecture
The Field of Values
Examples
Example, continued
Crouzeix's
Conjecture
Crouzeix and
Palencia's Theorems
Special Cases
Computing the Field of Values
Johnson's Algorithm
Finds the Extreme
Points
Chebfun
Example, continued
The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the
Crouzeix Ratio
Nonsmooth
Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the field of values (or numerical range) of $A$ is

$$
W(A)=\left\{v^{*} A v: v \in \mathbb{C}^{n},\|v\|_{2}=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{C} .
$$
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$$
W(A)=\left\{v^{*} A v: v \in \mathbb{C}^{n},\|v\|_{2}=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{C} .
$$

Clearly

$$
W(A) \supseteq \sigma(A)
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes spectrum.
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For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the field of values (or numerical range) of $A$ is

$$
W(A)=\left\{v^{*} A v: v \in \mathbb{C}^{n},\|v\|_{2}=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{C} .
$$

Clearly

$$
W(A) \supseteq \sigma(A)
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes spectrum.
If $A A^{*}=A^{*} A$, then

$$
W(A)=\operatorname{conv} \sigma(A) .
$$
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For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the field of values (or numerical range) of $A$ is

$$
W(A)=\left\{v^{*} A v: v \in \mathbb{C}^{n},\|v\|_{2}=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{C} .
$$

Clearly

$$
W(A) \supseteq \sigma(A)
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes spectrum.
If $A A^{*}=A^{*} A$, then

$$
W(A)=\operatorname{conv} \sigma(A) .
$$

Toeplitz-Haussdorf Theorem: $W(A)$ is convex for all $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.
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## Examples

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]: \\
B & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
-3 & 4
\end{array}\right]: \quad W(B) \text { is a disk of radius } 0.5 \\
& W(B) \text { "elliptical disk" }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]: W(J) \text { is a disk of radius } 0.5 \\
B & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
-3 & 4
\end{array}\right]: W(B) \text { is an "elliptical disk" } \\
D & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5+i & 0 \\
0 & 5-i
\end{array}\right]: \quad W(D) \text { is a line segment }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
J=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]: W(J) \text { is a disk of radius } 0.5 \\
B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
-3 & 4
\end{array}\right]: W(B) \text { is an "elliptical disk" } \\
D=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5+i & 0 \\
0 & 5-i
\end{array}\right]: W(D) \text { is a line segment } \\
A=\operatorname{diag}(J, B, D): \quad W(A)=\operatorname{conv}(W(J), W(B), W(D))
\end{gathered}
$$
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Field of Values of $\mathrm{A}=\operatorname{diag}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D})$ : J is Jordan block, B full, D diagonal
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Let $p=p(\zeta)$ be a polynomial and let $A$ be a square matrix.
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M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48 (2004), that for all $p$ and $A$,

$$
\|p(A)\|_{2} \leq 2\|p\|_{W(A)}
$$
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The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix $p(A)$.

## Crouzeix's Conjecture

Let $p=p(\zeta)$ be a polynomial and let $A$ be a square matrix.

Crouzeix's
Conjecture
The Field of Values
Examples
Example, continued

## Crouzeix's <br> Conjecture

Crouzeix and
Palencia's Theorems
Special Cases
Computing the Field of Values
Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points
Chebfun
Example, continued
The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the
Crouzeix Ratio
Nonsmooth
Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio
Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks
M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48 (2004), that for all $p$ and $A$,

$$
\|p(A)\|_{2} \leq 2\|p\|_{W(A)} .
$$

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix $p(A)$.
The norm on the right-hand side is the maximum of $|p(\zeta)|$ over $\zeta \in W(A)$. By the maximum modulus principle, this must be attained on bd $W(A)$, the boundary of $W(A)$.
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Let $p=p(\zeta)$ be a polynomial and let $A$ be a square matrix.
M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48 (2004), that for all $p$ and $A$,

$$
\|p(A)\|_{2} \leq 2\|p\|_{W(A)}
$$

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix $p(A)$.
The norm on the right-hand side is the maximum of $|p(\zeta)|$ over $\zeta \in W(A)$. By the maximum modulus principle, this must be attained on bd $W(A)$, the boundary of $W(A)$.
If $p=\chi(A)$, the characteristic polynomial (or minimal polynomial) of $A$, then $\|p(A)\|_{2}=0$ by Cayley-Hamilton, but $\|p\|_{W(A)}=0$ only if $A=\lambda I$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, so that $W(A)=\{\lambda\}$.
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Let $p=p(\zeta)$ be a polynomial and let $A$ be a square matrix.
M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48 (2004), that for all $p$ and $A$,

$$
\|p(A)\|_{2} \leq 2\|p\|_{W(A)}
$$

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix $p(A)$.
The norm on the right-hand side is the maximum of $|p(\zeta)|$ over $\zeta \in W(A)$. By the maximum modulus principle, this must be attained on bd $W(A)$, the boundary of $W(A)$.
If $p=\chi(A)$, the characteristic polynomial (or minimal polynomial) of $A$, then $\|p(A)\|_{2}=0$ by Cayley-Hamilton, but $\|p\|_{W(A)}=0$ only if $A=\lambda I$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, so that $W(A)=\{\lambda\}$. If $p(\zeta)=\zeta$ and $A$ is a $2 \times 2$ Jordan block with 0 on the diagonal, then $\|p(A)\|_{2}=1$ and $W(A)$ is a disk centered at 0 with radius 0.5 , so the left and right-hand sides are equal.
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Crouzeix's theorem (2008)
$\|p(A)\|_{2} \leq 11.08\|p\|_{W(A)}$
i.e., the conjecture is true if we replace 2 by 11.08 .
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Crouzeix's theorem (2008)

$$
\|p(A)\|_{2} \leq 11.08\|p\|_{W(A)}
$$

i.e., the conjecture is true if we replace 2 by 11.08 .

Palencia's theorem (2016)

$$
\|p(A)\|_{2} \leq(1+\sqrt{2})\|p\|_{W(A)}
$$

i.e., the conjecture is true if we replace 2 by $1+\sqrt{2}$

Published in SIMAX, May 2017, with Crouzeix.
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The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.
Let $r(A)=\max _{\zeta \in W(A)}|\zeta|$ (numerical radius) and $\mathcal{D}=$ open unit disk
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Let $r(A)=\max _{\zeta \in W(A)}|\zeta|$ (numerical radius) and $\mathcal{D}=$ open unit disk
■ $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{m}$ :
$\left\|A^{m}\right\| \leq 2 r\left(A^{m}\right) \leq 2 r(A)^{m}=2 \max _{\zeta \in W(A)}\left|\zeta^{m}\right|$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)
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polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.
Let $r(A)=\max _{\zeta \in W(A)}|\zeta|$ (numerical radius) and $\mathcal{D}=$ open unit disk
■ $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{m}$ :
$\left\|A^{m}\right\| \leq 2 r\left(A^{m}\right) \leq 2 r(A)^{m}=2 \max _{\zeta \in W(A)}\left|\zeta^{m}\right|$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)

- $W(A)=\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ :
- if $\|B\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(B)\| \leq \sup _{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}}|p(\zeta)|$ (von Neumann, 1951)
- if $r(A) \leq 1$, then $A=T B T^{-1}$ with $\|B\| \leq 1$ and $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq 2$
(Okubo and Ando, 1975), so $\|p(A)\| \leq 2\|p(B)\|$
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The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.
Let $r(A)=\max _{\zeta \in W(A)}|\zeta|$ (numerical radius) and $\mathcal{D}=$ open unit disk
■ $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{m}$ :
$\left\|A^{m}\right\| \leq 2 r\left(A^{m}\right) \leq 2 r(A)^{m}=2 \max _{\zeta \in W(A)}\left|\zeta^{m}\right|$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)
■ $W(A)=\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ :

- if $\|B\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(B)\| \leq \sup _{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}}|p(\zeta)|$ (von Neumann, 1951)
- if $r(A) \leq 1$, then $A=T B T^{-1}$ with $\|B\| \leq 1$ and $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq 2$
(Okubo and Ando, 1975), so $\|p(A)\| \leq 2\|p(B)\|$
- $n=2$ (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum polynomial of $A$ has degree 2 (follows from Tso and $\mathrm{Wu}, 1999$ )
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The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.
Let $r(A)=\max _{\zeta \in W(A)}|\zeta|$ (numerical radius) and $\mathcal{D}=$ open unit disk
■ $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{m}$ :
$\left\|A^{m}\right\| \leq 2 r\left(A^{m}\right) \leq 2 r(A)^{m}=2 \max _{\zeta \in W(A)}\left|\zeta^{m}\right|$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)
■ $W(A)=\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ :

- if $\|B\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(B)\| \leq \sup _{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}}|p(\zeta)|$ (von Neumann, 1951)
- if $r(A) \leq 1$, then $A=T B T^{-1}$ with $\|B\| \leq 1$ and $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq 2$
(Okubo and Ando, 1975), so $\|p(A)\| \leq 2\|p(B)\|$
- $n=2$ (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum polynomial of $A$ has degree 2 (follows from Tso and $\mathrm{Wu}, 1999$ ) $n=3$ and $A^{3}=0$ (Crouzeix, 2013)
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The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.
Let $r(A)=\max _{\zeta \in W(A)}|\zeta|$ (numerical radius) and $\mathcal{D}=$ open unit disk
■ $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{m}$ :
$\left\|A^{m}\right\| \leq 2 r\left(A^{m}\right) \leq 2 r(A)^{m}=2 \max _{\zeta \in W(A)}\left|\zeta^{m}\right|$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)
■ $W(A)=\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ :

- if $\|B\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(B)\| \leq \sup _{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}}|p(\zeta)|$ (von Neumann, 1951)
- if $r(A) \leq 1$, then $A=T B T^{-1}$ with $\|B\| \leq 1$ and $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq 2$
(Okubo and Ando, 1975), so $\|p(A)\| \leq 2\|p(B)\|$
- $n=2$ (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum polynomial of $A$ has degree 2 (follows from Tso and $\mathrm{Wu}, 1999$ )
- $n=3$ and $A^{3}=0$ (Crouzeix, 2013)
- $A$ is an upper Jordan block with a perturbation in the bottom left corner (Choi and Greenbaum, 2012) or any diagonal scaling of such A (Choi, 2013)
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The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.
Let $r(A)=\max _{\zeta \in W(A)}|\zeta|$ (numerical radius) and $\mathcal{D}=$ open unit disk
■ $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{m}$ :
$\left\|A^{m}\right\| \leq 2 r\left(A^{m}\right) \leq 2 r(A)^{m}=2 \max _{\zeta \in W(A)}\left|\zeta^{m}\right|$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)

- $W(A)=\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ :
- if $\|B\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(B)\| \leq \sup _{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}}|p(\zeta)|$ (von Neumann, 1951)
- if $r(A) \leq 1$, then $A=T B T^{-1}$ with $\|B\| \leq 1$ and $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq 2$
(Okubo and Ando, 1975), so $\|p(A)\| \leq 2\|p(B)\|$
■ $n=2$ (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum polynomial of $A$ has degree 2 (follows from Tso and $\mathrm{Wu}, 1999$ )
- $n=3$ and $A^{3}=0$ (Crouzeix, 2013)
- $A$ is an upper Jordan block with a perturbation in the bottom left corner (Choi and Greenbaum, 2012) or any diagonal scaling of such A (Choi, 2013)
■ $A=T D T^{-1}$ with $D$ diagonal and $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq 2$ (easy)
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The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ or matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.
Let $r(A)=\max _{\zeta \in W(A)}|\zeta|$ (numerical radius) and $\mathcal{D}=$ open unit disk
■ $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{m}$ :
$\left\|A^{m}\right\| \leq 2 r\left(A^{m}\right) \leq 2 r(A)^{m}=2 \max _{\zeta \in W(A)}\left|\zeta^{m}\right|$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)
■ $W(A)=\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ :

- if $\|B\| \leq 1$, then $\|p(B)\| \leq \sup _{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}}|p(\zeta)|$ (von Neumann, 1951)
- if $r(A) \leq 1$, then $A=T B T^{-1}$ with $\|B\| \leq 1$ and $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq 2$
(Okubo and Ando, 1975), so $\|p(A)\| \leq 2\|p(B)\|$
■ $n=2$ (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum polynomial of $A$ has degree 2 (follows from Tso and $\mathrm{Wu}, 1999$ )
- $n=3$ and $A^{3}=0$ (Crouzeix, 2013)
- $A$ is an upper Jordan block with a perturbation in the bottom left corner (Choi and Greenbaum, 2012) or any diagonal scaling of such A (Choi, 2013)
■ $A=T D T^{-1}$ with $D$ diagonal and $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq 2$ (easy)
- $A A^{*}=A^{*} A$ (then the constant 2 can be improved to 1 ).
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The extreme points of a convex set are those that cannot be expressed as a convex combination of two other points in the set. Based on R. Kippenhahn (1951), C.R. Johnson (1978) observed that the extreme points of $W(A)$ can be characterized as

$$
\operatorname{ext} W(A)=\left\{z_{\theta}=v_{\theta}^{*} A v_{\theta}: \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}
$$

where $v_{\theta}$ is a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix

$$
H_{\theta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} A^{*}\right) .
$$
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The extreme points of a convex set are those that cannot be expressed as a convex combination of two other points in the set. Based on R. Kippenhahn (1951), C.R. Johnson (1978) observed that the extreme points of $W(A)$ can be characterized as

$$
\operatorname{ext} W(A)=\left\{z_{\theta}=v_{\theta}^{*} A v_{\theta}: \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}
$$

where $v_{\theta}$ is a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix

$$
H_{\theta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} A^{*}\right) .
$$

The proof uses a supporting hyperplane argument.
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The extreme points of a convex set are those that cannot be expressed as a convex combination of two other points in the set. Based on R. Kippenhahn (1951), C.R. Johnson (1978) observed that the extreme points of $W(A)$ can be characterized as

$$
\operatorname{ext} W(A)=\left\{z_{\theta}=v_{\theta}^{*} A v_{\theta}: \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}
$$

where $v_{\theta}$ is a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix

$$
H_{\theta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} A^{*}\right) .
$$

The proof uses a supporting hyperplane argument. Thus, we can compute as many extreme points as we like. Continuing with the previous example...
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> Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004-present) represents real- or complex-valued functions on real intervals to machine precision accuracy using Chebyshev interpolation.
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Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004-present) represents real- or complex-valued functions on real intervals to machine precision accuracy using Chebyshev interpolation.

The necessary degree of the polynomial is determined automatically. For example, representing $\sin (\pi x)$ on $[-1,1]$ to machine precision requires degree 19 .
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Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004-present) represents real- or complex-valued functions on real intervals to machine precision accuracy using Chebyshev interpolation.

The necessary degree of the polynomial is determined automatically. For example, representing $\sin (\pi x)$ on $[-1,1]$ to machine precision requires degree 19.

Most Matlab functions are overloaded to work with chebfun's.
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Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004-present) represents real- or complex-valued functions on real intervals to machine precision accuracy using Chebyshev interpolation.

The necessary degree of the polynomial is determined automatically. For example, representing $\sin (\pi x)$ on $[-1,1]$ to machine precision requires degree 19.

Most Matlab functions are overloaded to work with chebfun's.
Applying Chebfun's fov to compute the boundary of $W(A)$ for the previous example...
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The small circles are the interpolation points generated by Chebfun.
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$$
f(p, A)=\frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_{2}}
$$
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$$

The conjecture states that $f(p, A)$ is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree $m$ and the matrix order $n$.
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$$

The conjecture states that $f(p, A)$ is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree $m$ and the matrix order $n$. The Crouzeix ratio $f$ is

- A mapping from $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ (associating polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ with their vectors of coefficients $c \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ using the monomial basis)
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■ Not defined if $p(A)=0$
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$$
f(p, A)=\frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_{2}}
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The conjecture states that $f(p, A)$ is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree $m$ and the matrix order $n$. The Crouzeix ratio $f$ is

- A mapping from $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ (associating polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ with their vectors of coefficients $c \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ using the monomial basis)
- Not convex

■ Not defined if $p(A)=0$

- Lipschitz continuous at all other points, but not necessarily differentiable
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$$
f(p, A)=\frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_{2}}
$$

The conjecture states that $f(p, A)$ is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree $m$ and the matrix order $n$. The Crouzeix ratio $f$ is

- A mapping from $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ (associating polynomials $p \in P^{m}$ with their vectors of coefficients $c \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ using the monomial basis)
■ Not convex
■ Not defined if $p(A)=0$
■ Lipschitz continuous at all other points, but not necessarily differentiable
- Semialgebraic (its graph is a finite union of sets, each of which is defined by a finite system of polynomial inequalities)
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Numerator: use Chebfun's fov (modified to return any line segments in the boundary) combined with its overloaded polyval and norm(•,inf).
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Numerator: use Chebfun's fov (modified to return any line segments in the boundary) combined with its overloaded polyval and norm(•,inf).

Denominator: use Matlab's standard polyvalm and norm(•,2).
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Numerator: use Chebfun's fov (modified to return any line segments in the boundary) combined with its overloaded polyval and norm(•,inf).

Denominator: use Matlab's standard polyvalm and norm( $\cdot, 2$ ).
The main cost is the construction of the chebfun defining the field of values.
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## Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

## Crouzeix's

 ConjectureNonsmooth
Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of
the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS
Experiments
Optimizing over $A$ (order $n$ ) and $p$ ( $\operatorname{deg} \leq n-1$ )
Final Fields of
Values for Lowest
Computed $f$
Optimizing over both $p$ and $A$ : Final $f(p, A)$
Is the Ratio 0.5
Attained?
Final Fields of Values for $f$ Closest to 1
Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One?
Results for Larger Dimension $n$ and
Degree $n-1$
Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

There are three possible sources of nonsmoothness in the Crouzeix ratio $f$

- When the max value of $|p(\zeta)|$ on bd $W(A)$ is attained at more than one point $\zeta$ (the most important, as this frequently occurs at apparent minimizers)
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■ When the max value of $|p(\zeta)|$ on bd $W(A)$ is attained at more than one point $\zeta$ (the most important, as this frequently occurs at apparent minimizers)
■ Even if such $\zeta$ is unique, when the normalized vector $v$ for which $v^{*} A v=\zeta$ is not unique up to a scalar, implying that the maximum eigenvalue of the corresponding $H_{\theta}$ matrix has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)
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There are three possible sources of nonsmoothness in the Crouzeix ratio $f$

■ When the max value of $|p(\zeta)|$ on bd $W(A)$ is attained at more than one point $\zeta$ (the most important, as this frequently occurs at apparent minimizers)
■ Even if such $\zeta$ is unique, when the normalized vector $v$ for which $v^{*} A v=\zeta$ is not unique up to a scalar, implying that the maximum eigenvalue of the corresponding $H_{\theta}$ matrix has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)

- When the maximum singular value of $p(A)$ has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)
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There are three possible sources of nonsmoothness in the Crouzeix ratio $f$

■ When the max value of $|p(\zeta)|$ on bd $W(A)$ is attained at more than one point $\zeta$ (the most important, as this frequently occurs at apparent minimizers)
■ Even if such $\zeta$ is unique, when the normalized vector $v$ for which $v^{*} A v=\zeta$ is not unique up to a scalar, implying that the maximum eigenvalue of the corresponding $H_{\theta}$ matrix has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)

- When the maximum singular value of $p(A)$ has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)

In all of these cases the gradient of $f$ is not defined. But in practice, none of these cases ever occur, except the first one in the limit.
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BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno, all independently in 1970), is the standard quasi-Newton algorithm for minimizing smooth (continuously differentiable) functions.
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BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno, all independently in 1970), is the standard quasi-Newton algorithm for minimizing smooth (continuously differentiable) functions.
It works by building an approximation to the Hessian of the function using gradient differences, and has a well known superlinear convergence property under a regularity condition.
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BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno, all independently in 1970), is the standard quasi-Newton algorithm for minimizing smooth (continuously differentiable) functions.
It works by building an approximation to the Hessian of the function using gradient differences, and has a well known superlinear convergence property under a regularity condition. Although its global convergence theory is limited to the convex case (Powell, 1976), it generally finds local minimizers efficiently in the nonconvex case too, although there are pathological counterexamples.
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BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno, all independently in 1970), is the standard quasi-Newton algorithm for minimizing smooth (continuously differentiable) functions.
It works by building an approximation to the Hessian of the function using gradient differences, and has a well known superlinear convergence property under a regularity condition. Although its global convergence theory is limited to the convex case (Powell, 1976), it generally finds local minimizers efficiently in the nonconvex case too, although there are pathological counterexamples.
Remarkably, this property seems to extend to nonsmooth functions too, with a linear rate of local convergence, although the convergence theory is extremely limited (Lewis and Overton, 2013). It builds a very ill conditioned "Hessian" approximation, with "infinitely large" curvature in some directions and finite curvature in other directions.
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For fixed $n$, optimize over $A$ with order $n$ and $p$ of $\operatorname{deg} \leq n-1$, running BFGS for a maximum of 1000 iterations from each of 100 randomly generated starting points.
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We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

For fixed $n$, optimize over $A$ with order $n$ and $p$ of $\operatorname{deg} \leq n-1$, running BFGS for a maximum of 1000 iterations from each of 100 randomly generated starting points.

We restrict $p$ to have real coefficients and $A$ to be real, in Hessenberg form (all but one superdiagonal is zero).
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We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

For fixed $n$, optimize over $A$ with order $n$ and $p$ of $\operatorname{deg} \leq n-1$, running BFGS for a maximum of 1000 iterations from each of 100 randomly generated starting points.

We restrict $p$ to have real coefficients and $A$ to be real, in Hessenberg form (all but one superdiagonal is zero).

We have obtained similar results for $p$ with complex coefficients and complex $A$ (then can take $A$ to be triangular).
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We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

For fixed $n$, optimize over $A$ with order $n$ and $p$ of $\operatorname{deg} \leq n-1$, running BFGS for a maximum of 1000 iterations from each of 100 randomly generated starting points.

We restrict $p$ to have real coefficients and $A$ to be real, in Hessenberg form (all but one superdiagonal is zero).

We have obtained similar results for $p$ with complex coefficients and complex $A$ (then can take $A$ to be triangular).
We have also obtained similar results using Gradient Sampling (Burke, Lewis and Overton, 2005; Kiwiel 2007) instead of BFGS. This method has a very satisfactory convergence theory, but it is much slower.
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Sorted final values of the Crouzeix ratio $f$ found starting from 100 randomly generated initial points.
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Sorted final values of the Crouzeix ratio $f$ found starting from 100 randomly generated initial points. Suggests that only locally optimal values of $f$ are 0.5 and 1 .
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Solid blue curve is boundary of field of values of final computed $A$ Blue asterisks are eigenvalues of final computed $A$ Small red circles are roots of final computed $p$
$n=3,4,5$ : two eigenvalues of $A$ and one root of $p$ nearly coincident
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| $n$ | $f$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.500000000000000 |
| 4 | 0.500000000000000 |
| 5 | 0.500000000000014 |
| 6 | 0.500000017156953 |
| 7 | 0.500000746246673 |
| 8 | 0.500000206563813 |

$f$ is the lowest value $f(p, A)$ found over 100 runs
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0.5 is attained if $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{n-1}$ and $A$ is the $n$ by $n$ matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 2 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { if } n=2 \text {, or }\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \sqrt{2} & & & & & \\
& \cdot & 1 & & & & \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\
& & & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & & & \cdot & 1 & \\
& & & & & \cdot & \sqrt{2} \\
& & & & & & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { if } n>2
$$

for which $W(A)$ is the unit disk.
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for which $W(A)$ is the unit disk.
Our computed minimizers are nearly equivalent to such pairs $(p, A)$ (with $A$ changed via unitary similarity transformations, multiplication by a scalar, by shifting the root of $p$ and eigenvalue of $A$ by the same scalar, and by appending another diagonal block whose field of values is contained in that of the first block)
Conjecture: these are the only cases where $f(p, A)=0.5$.
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Independently, Crabb, Choi and Crouzeix showed that the ratio 0.5 is attained if $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{n-1}$ and $A$ is the $n$ by $n$ matrix
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Our computed minimizers are nearly equivalent to such pairs $(p, A)$ (with $A$ changed via unitary similarity transformations, multiplication by a scalar, by shifting the root of $p$ and eigenvalue of $A$ by the same scalar, and by appending another diagonal block whose field of values is contained in that of the first block)
Conjecture: these are the only cases where $f(p, A)=0.5$.
$f$ is nonsmooth at these pairs $(p, A)$ because $|p|$ is constant on the boundary of $W(A)$.
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Ice cream cone shape: exactly one eigenvalue at a vertex of the field of values
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## Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One?

Because for this computed local minimizer, $A$ is nearly unitarily similar to a block diagonal matrix

$$
\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, B), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

SO

$$
W(A) \approx \operatorname{conv}(\lambda, W(B))
$$

with $\lambda$ active and the block $B$ inactive, that is:

- $\|p\|_{W(A)}$ is attained only at $\lambda$

■ $|p(\lambda)|>\|p(B)\|_{2}$
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So, $\|p\|_{W(A)}=|p(\lambda)|=\|p(A)\|_{2}$ and hence $f(p, A)=1$.
Furthermore, $f$ is differentiable at this pair $(p, A)$, with zero gradient.
Thus, such $(p, A)$ is a smooth stationary point of $f$.
This doesn't imply that it is a local minimizer, but the numerical results make this evident.
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Because for this computed local minimizer, $A$ is nearly unitarily similar to a block diagonal matrix

$$
\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, B), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

SO

$$
W(A) \approx \operatorname{conv}(\lambda, W(B))
$$

with $\lambda$ active and the block $B$ inactive, that is:

- $\|p\|_{W(A)}$ is attained only at $\lambda$
- $|p(\lambda)|>\|p(B)\|_{2}$

So, $\|p\|_{W(A)}=|p(\lambda)|=\|p(A)\|_{2}$ and hence $f(p, A)=1$.
Furthermore, $f$ is differentiable at this pair $(p, A)$, with zero gradient.
Thus, such $(p, A)$ is a smooth stationary point of $f$.
This doesn't imply that it is a local minimizer, but the numerical results make this evident.

As $n$ increases, ice cream cone stationary points become increasingly common and it becomes very difficult to reduce $f$ below 1 .
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Sorted final values of the Crouzeix ratio $f$ found starting from many randomly generated initial points.
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\(\max _{\theta \in[0,2 \pi]}\left|p\left(z_{\theta}\right)\right| \quad\) where \(\quad z_{\theta}=v_{\theta}^{*} A v_{\theta}\).
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A directionally differentiable, locally Lipschitz function \(h\) is regular (in the sense of Clarke, 1975) near a point \(x\) when its directional derivative \(x \mapsto h^{\prime}(x ; d)\) is upper semicontinuous there for every fixed direction \(d\).

In this case \(0 \in \partial h(x)\) is equivalent to the first-order optimality condition \(h^{\prime}(x, d) \geq 0\) for all directions \(d\).
- All convex functions are regular
- All continuously differentiable functions are regular
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A directionally differentiable, locally Lipschitz function \(h\) is regular (in the sense of Clarke, 1975) near a point \(x\) when its directional derivative \(x \mapsto h^{\prime}(x ; d)\) is upper semicontinuous there for every fixed direction \(d\).

In this case \(0 \in \partial h(x)\) is equivalent to the first-order optimality condition \(h^{\prime}(x, d) \geq 0\) for all directions \(d\).
- All convex functions are regular
- All continuously differentiable functions are regular
- Nonsmooth concave functions, e.g. \(h(x)=-|x|\), are not regular.
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Optimize over complex monic linear polynomials \(p(\zeta) \equiv c+\zeta\) and complex matrices with order \(n=2\). Let \(f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)\), where now \(f: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\).
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Let \(\hat{c}=0(\hat{p}(\zeta)=\zeta)\) and \(\hat{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\), so \(W(\hat{A})=\mathcal{D}\), the unit disk, and hence \(|p(\zeta)|\) is maximized everywhere on the unit circle, with \(f\) nonsmooth at \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\) and \(f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})=1 / 2\).
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Optimize over complex monic linear polynomials \(p(\zeta) \equiv c+\zeta\) and complex matrices with order \(n=2\). Let \(f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)\), where now \(f: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\).

Let \(\hat{c}=0(\hat{p}(\zeta)=\zeta)\) and \(\hat{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\), so \(W(\hat{A})=\mathcal{D}\), the unit disk, and hence \(|p(\zeta)|\) is maximized everywhere on the unit circle, with \(f\) nonsmooth at \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\) and \(f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})=1 / 2\).

Theorem 3. The Crouzeix ratio \(f\) is regular at \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\), with
\[
\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})=\operatorname{conv}_{\theta \in[0,2 \pi)}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \theta}, \frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-i \theta} & 0 \\
e^{-2 i \theta} & e^{-i \theta}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right\}
\]
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\section*{Corollary.}
\[
0 \in \partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})
\]
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Corollary.
\[
0 \in \partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})
\]

Proof: the vectors inside the convex hull defined by \(\theta=0,2 \pi / 3\) and \(4 \pi / 3\) sum to zero.
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Corollary.
\[
0 \in \partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})
\]

Proof: the vectors inside the convex hull defined by \(\theta=0,2 \pi / 3\) and \(4 \pi / 3\) sum to zero.

Actually, we knew this must be true as Crouzeix's conjecture is known to hold for \(n=2\), and hence ( \(\hat{c}, \hat{A}\) ) is a global minimizer of \(f(\cdot, \cdot)\), but we can extend the result to larger values of \(m, n\), for which we don't know whether the conjecture holds.
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Optimize over complex polynomials \(p(\zeta) \equiv c_{0}+\cdots+c_{m} \zeta^{m}\) and complex matrices with order \(n\). Let \(f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)\), where \(f: \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\).
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Optimize over complex polynomials \(p(\zeta) \equiv c_{0}+\cdots+c_{m} \zeta^{m}\) and complex matrices with order \(n\). Let \(f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)\), where \(f: \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\). Let \(\hat{c}=[0,0, \ldots, 1]\), corresponding to the polynomial \(z^{n-1}\), and \(\hat{A}\) equal the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order \(n\) so \(W(\hat{A})=\mathcal{D}\), the unit disk, and hence \(f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})=1 / 2\).
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Optimize over complex polynomials \(p(\zeta) \equiv c_{0}+\cdots+c_{m} \zeta^{m}\) and complex matrices with order \(n\). Let \(f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)\), where \(f: \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\). Let \(\hat{c}=[0,0, \ldots, 1]\), corresponding to the polynomial \(z^{n-1}\), and \(\hat{A}\) equal the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order \(n\) so \(W(\hat{A})=\mathcal{D}\), the unit disk, and hence \(f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})=1 / 2\).
Theorem 4. The Crouzeix ratio on \((c, A) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\) is regular at \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\) with
\[
\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})=\operatorname{conv}_{\theta \in[0,2 \pi)}\left\{\left(y_{\theta}, Y_{\theta}\right)\right\}
\]
where
\[
y_{\theta}=\frac{1}{2}\left[z^{m}, z^{m-1}, \ldots, z, 0\right]^{T}
\]
and \(Y_{\theta} n \times n\) matrix
\(Y_{\theta}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}z & 0 & \sqrt{2} z^{-1} & \sqrt{2} z^{-2} & \cdots & \sqrt{2} z^{3-n} & z^{2-n} \\ \sqrt{2} z^{2} & 2 z & 0 & 2 z^{-1} & \cdots & 2 z^{4-n} & \sqrt{2} z^{3-n} \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ \sqrt{2} z^{n-2} & 2 z^{n-3} & 2 z^{n-4} & 2 z^{n-5} & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{2} z \\ \sqrt{2} z^{n-1} & 2 z^{n-2} & 2 z^{n-3} & 2 z^{n-4} & \cdots & 2 z & 0 \\ z^{n} & \sqrt{2} z^{n-1} & \sqrt{2} z^{n-2} & \sqrt{2} z^{n-3} & \cdots & \sqrt{2} z^{2} & z\end{array}\right]\)
with \(z=e^{-i \theta}\).
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Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

\section*{Corollary.}
\[
0 \in \partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})
\]
so, for any \(n\), the pair \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\) is a nonsmooth stationary point of \(f\).
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\section*{Corollary.}
\[
0 \in \partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})
\]
so, for any \(n\), the pair \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\) is a nonsmooth stationary point of \(f\).

Proof. The convex combination
\[
\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(y_{2 k \pi /(n+1)}, Y_{2 k \pi /(n+1)}\right)
\]
is zero.
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\section*{Corollary.}
\[
0 \in \partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})
\]
so, for any \(n\), the pair \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\) is a nonsmooth stationary point of \(f\).
Proof. The convex combination
\[
\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(y_{2 k \pi /(n+1)}, Y_{2 k \pi /(n+1)}\right)
\]
is zero.
This is a necessary condition for \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\) to be a local (or global) minimizer of \(f\) on \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\). This is a new result for \(n>2\).
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Corollary.
\[
0 \in \partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A})
\]
so, for any \(n\), the pair \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\) is a nonsmooth stationary point of \(f\).
Proof. The convex combination
\[
\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(y_{2 k \pi /(n+1)}, Y_{2 k \pi /(n+1)}\right)
\]
is zero.
This is a necessary condition for \((\hat{c}, \hat{A})\) to be a local (or global) minimizer of \(f\) on \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\). This is a new result for \(n>2\).
And by regularity, it implies that the directional derivative \(f^{\prime}(\cdot, d) \geq 0\) for all directions \(d\).
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\[
\tilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\]
for which \(W(\tilde{A})\) is a disk and \(f(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A})=1 / \sqrt{2}\).
The Crouzeix ratio \(f\) is not regular at \((\tilde{p}, \tilde{A})\).
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for which \(W(\tilde{A})\) is a disk and \(f(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A})=1 / \sqrt{2}\).
The Crouzeix ratio \(f\) is not regular at \((\tilde{p}, \tilde{A})\).


Plot of the denominator \(\beta\), the numerator \(\tau\) and the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) evaluated at ( \(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A}+t \tilde{A}^{2}\) ), \(t \in[-2,2]\).
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Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) over \(p\) and \(A\), BFGS almost always converged either to nonsmooth stationary values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or smooth stationary values of 1 (with "ice cream cone" fields of values).
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Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) over \(p\) and \(A\), BFGS almost always converged either to nonsmooth stationary values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or smooth stationary values of 1 (with "ice cream cone" fields of values).
Both Chebfun and BFGS perform remarkably reliably despite nonsmoothness that can occur either in the boundary of the field of values (w.r.t. the complex plane) or in the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) (w.r.t the polynomial-matrix space).
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Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) over \(p\) and \(A\), BFGS almost always converged either to nonsmooth stationary values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or smooth stationary values of 1 (with "ice cream cone" fields of values).
Both Chebfun and BFGS perform remarkably reliably despite nonsmoothness that can occur either in the boundary of the field of values (w.r.t. the complex plane) or in the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) (w.r.t the polynomial-matrix space).

Using nonsmooth variational analysis, we proved regularity and Clarke stationarity of the Crouzeix ratio, with value 0.5 , at pairs \((\hat{p}, \hat{A})\), where \(\hat{p}\) is the monomial \(\zeta^{n-1}\) and \(\hat{A}\) is aCrabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order \(n\), a necessary condition for local or global optimality.
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\section*{Summary}

Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) over \(p\) and \(A\), BFGS almost always converged either to nonsmooth stationary values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or smooth stationary values of 1 (with "ice cream cone" fields of values).
Both Chebfun and BFGS perform remarkably reliably despite nonsmoothness that can occur either in the boundary of the field of values (w.r.t. the complex plane) or in the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) (w.r.t the polynomial-matrix space).

Using nonsmooth variational analysis, we proved regularity and Clarke stationarity of the Crouzeix ratio, with value 0.5 , at pairs \((\hat{p}, \hat{A})\), where \(\hat{p}\) is the monomial \(\zeta^{n-1}\) and \(\hat{A}\) is aCrabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order \(n\), a necessary condition for local or global optimality.
We also found ( \(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A}\) ) for which the Crouzeix ratio is not regular.
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\section*{Summary}

Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) over \(p\) and \(A\), BFGS almost always converged either to nonsmooth stationary values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or smooth stationary values of 1 (with "ice cream cone" fields of values).
Both Chebfun and BFGS perform remarkably reliably despite nonsmoothness that can occur either in the boundary of the field of values (w.r.t. the complex plane) or in the Crouzeix ratio \(f\) (w.r.t the polynomial-matrix space).

Using nonsmooth variational analysis, we proved regularity and Clarke stationarity of the Crouzeix ratio, with value 0.5 , at pairs \((\hat{p}, \hat{A})\), where \(\hat{p}\) is the monomial \(\zeta^{n-1}\) and \(\hat{A}\) is aCrabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order \(n\), a necessary condition for local or global optimality.
We also found ( \(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A}\) ) for which the Crouzeix ratio is not regular.
The results strongly support Crouzeix's conjecture: the globally minimal value of the Crouzeix ratio \(f(p, A)\) is 0.5 .
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