# Investigation of Crouzeix's Conjecture via Nonsmooth Optimization

Michael L. Overton Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University

Joint work with Anne Greenbaum, University of Washington and Adrian Lewis, Cornell

> Workshop in Honor of Don Goldfarb Huatulco, Jan 2018



## Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

# **Crouzeix's Conjecture**



## Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Field of Values

 $\mathsf{Examples}$ 

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Palencia's Theorems

Special Cases

Computing the Field

of Values

Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme

Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

For  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the field of values (or numerical range) of A is

$$W(A) = \{v^*Av : v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|v\|_2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$



### Crouzeix's Conjecture

#### The Field of Values

- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- Crouzeix and
- Palencia's Theorems
- Special Cases
- Computing the Field
- of Values
- Johnson's Algorithm
- Finds the Extreme Points
- Chebfun
- Example, continued
- The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

For  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the field of values (or numerical range) of A is  $W(A) = \{v^*Av : v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|v\|_2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$ 

## Clearly

$$W(A)\supseteq\sigma(A)$$

## where $\sigma$ denotes spectrum.



### Crouzeix's Conjecture

#### The Field of Values

- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- Crouzeix and
- Palencia's Theorems
- Special Cases
- Computing the Field
- of Values
- Johnson's Algorithm
- Finds the Extreme Points
- Chebfun
- Example, continued
- The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

For  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the field of values (or numerical range) of A is  $W(A) = \{v^*Av : v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|v\|_2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$ 

## Clearly

$$W(A)\supseteq\sigma(A)$$

## where $\sigma$ denotes spectrum.

If  $AA^* = A^*A$ , then

 $W(A) = \operatorname{conv} \sigma(A).$ 



### Crouzeix's Conjecture

#### The Field of Values

- Examples
- Example, continued
- Crouzeix's
- Conjecture
- Crouzeix and
- Palencia's Theorems
- Special Cases
- Computing the Field
- of Values
- Johnson's Algorithm
- Finds the Extreme Points
- Chebfun
- Example, continued
- The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio
- Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

For  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the field of values (or numerical range) of A is  $W(A) = \{v^*Av : v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|v\|_2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$ 

## Clearly

$$W(A)\supseteq\sigma(A)$$

## where $\sigma$ denotes spectrum.

If  $AA^* = A^*A$ , then

$$W(A) = \operatorname{conv} \sigma(A).$$

Toeplitz-Haussdorf Theorem: W(A) is convex for all  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ .



Let

Crouzeix's Conjecture

The Field of Values

## Examples

 $\mathsf{Example,\ continued}$ 

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Palencia's Theorems

Special Cases

Computing the Field

of Values

Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(J) \text{ is a disk of radius } 0.5$$



Let

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values

## Examples

Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Palencia's Theorems

Special Cases

Computing the Field

of Values

Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme

Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(J)$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(J)$$

W(J) is a disk of radius 0.5

W(B) is an "elliptical disk"



Crouzeix's Let Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth

Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(J) \text{ is a disk of radius } 0.5$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(B) \text{ is an "elliptical disk"}$$
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 5+i & 0 \\ 0 & 5-i \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(D) \text{ is a line segment}$$



Crouzeix's Conjecture	Let
The Field of Values	
Examples	
Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems	
Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points	]
Chebfun Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio	A = c

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(J) \text{ is a disk of radius } 0.5$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(B) \text{ is an "elliptical disk"}$$
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 5+i & 0 \\ 0 & 5-i \end{bmatrix} : \quad W(D) \text{ is a line segment}$$

 $\operatorname{diag}(J, B, D): \quad W(A) = \operatorname{conv}\left(W(J), W(B), W(D)\right)$ 



Example, continued

Palencia's Theorems

Computing the Field

Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Examples

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Special Cases

of Values

Points

Chebfun

Nonsmooth Optimization of

Ratio

# **Example**, continued



Concluding Remarks



Crouzeix's

# **Crouzeix's Conjecture**

# Let $p = p(\zeta)$ be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix.

Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases

Computing the Field

of Values

Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme

Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

# **Crouzeix's Conjecture**

Let  $p = p(\zeta)$  be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix. M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", *Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48* (2004), that for *all* p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$ 



Crouzeix's <u>Conjecture</u> The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's

## Conjecture

Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values

Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

**Concluding Remarks** 

# **Crouzeix's Conjecture**

Let  $p = p(\zeta)$  be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix. M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", *Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48* (2004), that for *all* p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$ 

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix p(A).



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

# **Crouzeix's Conjecture**

Let  $p = p(\zeta)$  be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix. M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", *Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48* (2004), that for *all* p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$ 

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix p(A).

The norm on the right-hand side is the maximum of  $|p(\zeta)|$ over  $\zeta \in W(A)$ . By the maximum modulus principle, this must be attained on  $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$ , the boundary of W(A).



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

# **Crouzeix's Conjecture**

Let  $p = p(\zeta)$  be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix. M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", *Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48* (2004), that for *all* p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$ 

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix p(A).

The norm on the right-hand side is the maximum of  $|p(\zeta)|$ over  $\zeta \in W(A)$ . By the maximum modulus principle, this must be attained on  $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$ , the boundary of W(A).

If  $p = \chi(A)$ , the characteristic polynomial (or minimal polynomial) of A, then  $||p(A)||_2 = 0$  by Cayley-Hamilton, but  $||p||_{W(A)} = 0$  only if  $A = \lambda I$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , so that  $W(A) = \{\lambda\}$ .



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme

Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

# **Crouzeix's Conjecture**

Let  $p = p(\zeta)$  be a polynomial and let A be a square matrix. M. Crouzeix conjectured in "Bounds for analytical functions of matrices", *Int. Eq. Oper. Theory 48* (2004), that for *all* p and A,

 $||p(A)||_2 \le 2 ||p||_{W(A)}.$ 

The left-hand side is the 2-norm (spectral norm, maximum singular value) of the matrix p(A).

The norm on the right-hand side is the maximum of  $|p(\zeta)|$ over  $\zeta \in W(A)$ . By the maximum modulus principle, this must be attained on  $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$ , the boundary of W(A).

If  $p = \chi(A)$ , the characteristic polynomial (or minimal polynomial) of A, then  $||p(A)||_2 = 0$  by Cayley-Hamilton, but  $||p||_{W(A)} = 0$  only if  $A = \lambda I$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , so that  $W(A) = \{\lambda\}$ . If  $p(\zeta) = \zeta$  and A is a  $2 \times 2$  Jordan block with 0 on the diagonal, then  $||p(A)||_2 = 1$  and W(A) is a disk centered at 0 with radius 0.5, so the left and right-hand sides are equal.



# **Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems**

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio Concluding Remarks

# Crouzeix's theorem (2008) $\|p(A)\|_2 \le 11.08 \|p\|_{W(A)}$

i.e., the conjecture is true if we replace 2 by 11.08.



**Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems** 

Crouzeix's <u>Conjecture</u> The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture

Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems

**Special Cases** 

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Crouzeix's theorem (2008)  $\|p(A)\|_2 \le 11.08 \|p\|_{W(A)}$ 

i.e., the conjecture is true if we replace 2 by 11.08.

Palencia's theorem (2016)

$$||p(A)||_2 \le (1+\sqrt{2}) ||p||_{W(A)}$$

i.e., the conjecture is true if we replace 2 by  $1 + \sqrt{2}$ Published in SIMAX, May 2017, with Crouzeix.



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture

Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems

Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials  $p \in P^m$  or matrices  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Let  $r(A) = \max_{\zeta \in W(A)} |\zeta|$  (numerical radius) and  $\mathcal{D} =$  open unit disk

 $p(\zeta) = \zeta^{m}:$   $\|A^{m}\| \leq 2r(A^{m}) \leq 2r(A)^{m} = 2 \max_{\zeta \in W(A)} |\zeta^{m}|$ (power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Palencia's Theorems

## Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$p(\zeta) = \zeta^{m}:$$

$$\|A^{m}\| \leq 2r(A^{m}) \leq 2r(A)^{m} = 2 \max_{\zeta \in W(A)} |\zeta^{m}|$$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)  

$$W(A) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}:$$
if  $\|\mathcal{D}\| \leq 1$ , then  $\|u(\mathcal{D})\| \leq \max |u(\zeta)|$  (see Nerver

- if  $||B|| \leq 1$ , then  $||p(B)|| \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} |p(\zeta)|$  (von Neumann, 1951)
- if  $r(A) \leq 1$ , then  $A = TBT^{-1}$  with  $||B|| \leq 1$  and  $||T|| ||T^{-1}|| \leq 2$ (Okubo and Ando, 1975), so  $||p(A)|| \leq 2||p(B)||$



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Palencia's Theorems

## Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

 $\mathsf{Example,\ continued}$ 

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$p(\zeta) = \zeta^{m}:$$

$$\|A^{m}\| \leq 2r(A^{m}) \leq 2r(A)^{m} = 2 \max_{\zeta \in W(A)} |\zeta^{m}|$$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)  

$$W(A) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}:$$

$$\text{if } \|B\| \leq 1 \text{ then } \|p(B)\| \leq \sup_{z \in W(A)} |p(\zeta)| \text{ (von Neuman)}$$

- if  $||B|| \leq 1$ , then  $||p(B)|| \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} |p(\zeta)|$  (von Neumann, 1951)
- if  $r(A) \le 1$ , then  $A = TBT^{-1}$  with  $||B|| \le 1$  and  $||T|| ||T^{-1}|| \le 2$ (Okubo and Ando, 1975), so  $||p(A)|| \le 2||p(B)||$
- n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum polynomial of A has degree 2 (follows from Tso and Wu, 1999)



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Palencia's Theorems

## Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$\begin{array}{l} p(\zeta) = \zeta^m: \\ \|A^m\| \leq 2r(A^m) \leq 2r(A)^m = 2\max_{\zeta \in W(A)} |\zeta^m| \\ (\text{power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966}) \\ W(A) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}: \\ \bullet \text{ if } \|B\| \leq 1, \text{ then } \|p(B)\| \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} |p(\zeta)| \text{ (von Neumann, 1951)} \\ \bullet \text{ if } r(A) \leq 1, \text{ then } A = TBT^{-1} \text{ with } \|B\| \leq 1 \text{ and } \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq 2 \\ \text{ (Okubo and Ando, 1975), so } \|p(A)\| \leq 2\|p(B)\| \\ n = 2 \text{ (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum } \\ \text{polynomial of } A \text{ has degree 2 (follows from Tso and Wu, 1999)} \end{array}$$

• 
$$n=3$$
 and  $A^3=0$  (Crouzeix, 2013)



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Palencia's Theorems

## Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$p(\zeta) = \zeta^{m}:$$

$$\|A^{m}\| \leq 2r(A^{m}) \leq 2r(A)^{m} = 2 \max_{\zeta \in W(A)} |\zeta^{m}|$$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)

$$W(A) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}$$
:

- if  $||B|| \leq 1$ , then  $||p(B)|| \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} |p(\zeta)|$  (von Neumann, 1951)
- if  $r(A) \le 1$ , then  $A = TBT^{-1}$  with  $||B|| \le 1$  and  $||T|| ||T^{-1}|| \le 2$ (Okubo and Ando, 1975), so  $||p(A)|| \le 2||p(B)||$
- n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum polynomial of A has degree 2 (follows from Tso and Wu, 1999)
   n = 3 and A<sup>3</sup> = 0 (Crouzeix, 2013)
- A is an upper Jordan block with a perturbation in the bottom left corner (Choi and Greenbaum, 2012) or any diagonal scaling of such A (Choi, 2013)



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Palencia's Theorems

## Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

 $\mathsf{Example,\ continued}$ 

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

The conjecture is known to hold for certain restricted classes of polynomials  $p \in P^m$  or matrices  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Let  $r(A) = \max_{\zeta \in W(A)} |\zeta|$  (numerical radius) and  $\mathcal{D}$  = open unit disk

$$p(\zeta) = \zeta^{m}:$$

$$\|A^{m}\| \leq 2r(A^{m}) \leq 2r(A)^{m} = 2 \max_{\zeta \in W(A)} |\zeta^{m}|$$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)

 $\blacksquare \quad W(A) = \overline{\mathcal{D}} :$ 

- if  $||B|| \leq 1$ , then  $||p(B)|| \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} |p(\zeta)|$  (von Neumann, 1951)
- if  $r(A) \le 1$ , then  $A = TBT^{-1}$  with  $||B|| \le 1$  and  $||T|| ||T^{-1}|| \le 2$ (Okubo and Ando, 1975), so  $||p(A)|| \le 2||p(B)||$
- n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum polynomial of A has degree 2 (follows from Tso and Wu, 1999)
- n = 3 and  $A^3 = 0$  (Crouzeix, 2013)
- A is an upper Jordan block with a perturbation in the bottom left corner (Choi and Greenbaum, 2012) or any diagonal scaling of such A (Choi, 2013)
  - $A = TDT^{-1} \text{ with } D \text{ diagonal and } \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \le 2 \text{ (easy)}$



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued

Crouzeix's

Conjecture

Crouzeix and

Palencia's Theorems

## Special Cases

Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

 $\mathsf{Example,\ continued}$ 

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$p(\zeta) = \zeta^m:$$

$$\|A^m\| \le 2r(A^m) \le 2r(A)^m = 2 \max_{\zeta \in W(A)} |\zeta^m|$$
(power inequality, Berger 1965, Pearcy 1966)

$$W(A) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}$$
:

- if  $||B|| \leq 1$ , then  $||p(B)|| \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} |p(\zeta)|$  (von Neumann, 1951)
- if  $r(A) \le 1$ , then  $A = TBT^{-1}$  with  $||B|| \le 1$  and  $||T|| ||T^{-1}|| \le 2$ (Okubo and Ando, 1975), so  $||p(A)|| \le 2||p(B)||$
- n = 2 (Crouzeix, 2004), and, more generally, the minimum polynomial of A has degree 2 (follows from Tso and Wu, 1999)
- n = 3 and  $A^3 = 0$  (Crouzeix, 2013)
- A is an upper Jordan block with a perturbation in the bottom left corner (Choi and Greenbaum, 2012) or any diagonal scaling of such A (Choi, 2013)
- $A = TDT^{-1}$  with D diagonal and  $||T|| ||T^{-1}|| \le 2$  (easy)
  - $AA^* = A^*A$  (then the constant 2 can be improved to 1).



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

**Concluding Remarks** 

The extreme points of a convex set are those that cannot be expressed as a convex combination of two other points in the set.



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems **Special Cases** Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

**Concluding Remarks** 

The extreme points of a convex set are those that cannot be expressed as a convex combination of two other points in the set. Based on R. Kippenhahn (1951), C.R. Johnson (1978) observed that the extreme points of W(A) can be characterized as

$$ext W(A) = \{ z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \}$$

where  $v_{\theta}$  is a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix

$$H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right).$$



- (

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems **Special Cases** Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

The extreme points of a convex set are those that cannot be expressed as a convex combination of two other points in the set. Based on R. Kippenhahn (1951), C.R. Johnson (1978) observed that the extreme points of W(A) can be characterized as

$$ext W(A) = \{ z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \}$$

where  $v_{\theta}$  is a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix

$$H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right).$$

The proof uses a supporting hyperplane argument.



Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems **Special Cases** Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

The extreme points of a convex set are those that cannot be expressed as a convex combination of two other points in the set. Based on R. Kippenhahn (1951), C.R. Johnson (1978) observed that the extreme points of W(A) can be characterized as

$$ext W(A) = \{ z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \}$$

where  $v_{\theta}$  is a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix

$$H_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^* \right).$$

The proof uses a supporting hyperplane argument.

Thus, we can compute as many extreme points as we like. Continuing with the previous example...



# Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points



Concluding Remarks





# Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points



Concluding Remarks



But how can we do this accurately, automatically and efficiently? But how can we do this accurately, automatically and efficiently?



# Chebfun

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

**Concluding Remarks** 

Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004–present) represents real- or complex-valued functions on real intervals to machine precision accuracy using Chebyshev interpolation.



# Chebfun

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

#### Chebfun

Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

**Concluding Remarks** 

Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004–present) represents real- or complex-valued functions on real intervals to machine precision accuracy using Chebyshev interpolation.

The necessary degree of the polynomial is determined automatically. For example, representing  $\sin(\pi x)$  on [-1, 1] to machine precision requires degree 19.



# Chebfun

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

#### Chebfun

Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004–present) represents real- or complex-valued functions on real intervals to machine precision accuracy using Chebyshev interpolation.

The necessary degree of the polynomial is determined automatically. For example, representing  $\sin(\pi x)$  on [-1, 1] to machine precision requires degree 19.

Most  $\operatorname{Matlab}$  functions are overloaded to work with chebfun's.


### Chebfun

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

#### Chebfun

Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Chebfun (Trefethen et al, 2004–present) represents real- or complex-valued functions on real intervals to machine precision accuracy using Chebyshev interpolation.

The necessary degree of the polynomial is determined automatically. For example, representing  $\sin(\pi x)$  on [-1, 1] to machine precision requires degree 19.

Most  $\operatorname{Matlab}$  functions are overloaded to work with chebfun's.

Applying Chebfun's **fov** to compute the boundary of W(A) for the previous example...



### **Example, continued**



Concluding Remarks



The small circles are the interpolation points generated by Chebfun.  $^{12\ /\ 39}$ 



### Define the Crouzeix ratio

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth

Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

 $f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$ 



### Define the Crouzeix ratio

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field

of Values

Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme

Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$(p,A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n.

f



### Define the Crouzeix ratio

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme

Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

### The Crouzeix Ratio

Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

**Concluding Remarks** 

$$(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n. The Crouzeix ratio f is

A mapping from  $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  (associating polynomials  $p \in P^m$  with their vectors of coefficients  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$  using the monomial basis)



### Define the Crouzeix ratio

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm

Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

### The Crouzeix Ratio

Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n. The Crouzeix ratio f is

A mapping from  $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  (associating polynomials  $p \in P^m$  with their vectors of coefficients  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$  using the monomial basis)

Not convex



### Define the Crouzeix ratio

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme

Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio

Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

 $f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$ 

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n. The Crouzeix ratio f is

A mapping from  $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  (associating polynomials  $p \in P^m$  with their vectors of coefficients  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$  using the monomial basis)

Not convex

• Not defined if p(A) = 0



### Define the Crouzeix ratio

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio

Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

 $f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$ 

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n. The Crouzeix ratio f is

A mapping from  $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  (associating polynomials  $p \in P^m$  with their vectors of coefficients  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$  using the monomial basis)

Not convex

• Not defined if p(A) = 0

Lipschitz continuous at all other points, but not necessarily differentiable



### Define the Crouzeix ratio

Crouzeix's <u>Conjecture</u> The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

 $\mathsf{Example,\ continued}$ 

#### The Crouzeix Ratio

Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

$$f(p, A) = \frac{\|p\|_{W(A)}}{\|p(A)\|_2}.$$

The conjecture states that f(p, A) is bounded below by 0.5 independently of the polynomial degree m and the matrix order n. The Crouzeix ratio f is

A mapping from  $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  (associating polynomials  $p \in P^m$  with their vectors of coefficients  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$  using the monomial basis)

Not convex

• Not defined if p(A) = 0

- Lipschitz continuous at all other points, but not necessarily differentiable
- Semialgebraic (its graph is a finite union of sets, each of which is defined by a finite system of polynomial inequalities)



# **Computing the Crouzeix Ratio**

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth Analysis

of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Numerator: use Chebfun's **fov** (modified to return any line segments in the boundary) combined with its overloaded **polyval** and **norm(\cdot,inf)**.



# **Computing the Crouzeix Ratio**

Crouzeix's Conjecture The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points Chebfun Example, continued The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Numerator: use Chebfun's **fov** (modified to return any line segments in the boundary) combined with its overloaded **polyval** and **norm(\cdot,inf)**.

Denominator: use MATLAB's standard **polyvalm** and **norm(\cdot,2)**.



# **Computing the Crouzeix Ratio**

Crouzeix's <u>Conjecture</u> The Field of Values Examples Example, continued Crouzeix's Conjecture Crouzeix and Palencia's Theorems Special Cases Computing the Field of Values Johnson's Algorithm Finds the Extreme Points

Chebfun

Example, continued

The Crouzeix Ratio Computing the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Numerator: use Chebfun's **fov** (modified to return any line segments in the boundary) combined with its overloaded **polyval** and **norm(\cdot,inf)**.

Denominator: use MATLAB's standard **polyvalm** and **norm(\cdot,2)**. The main cost is the construction of the chebfun defining the field of values.



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ Final Fields of Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

# Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio



Crouzeix's	
Conjecture	

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

BFGS

Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix

There are three possible sources of nonsmoothness in the Crouzeix ratio  $\boldsymbol{f}$ 

Concluding Remarks

Ratio



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

BFGS

**Experiments** Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio There are three possible sources of nonsmoothness in the Crouzeix ratio  $\boldsymbol{f}$ 

When the max value of  $|p(\zeta)|$  on  $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$  is attained at more than one point  $\zeta$  (the most important, as this frequently occurs at apparent minimizers)



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

BFGS

Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio There are three possible sources of nonsmoothness in the Crouzeix ratio  $\boldsymbol{f}$ 

- When the max value of  $|p(\zeta)|$  on  $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$  is attained at more than one point  $\zeta$  (the most important, as this frequently occurs at apparent minimizers)
- Even if such  $\zeta$  is unique, when the normalized vector v for which  $v^*Av = \zeta$  is not unique up to a scalar, implying that the maximum eigenvalue of the corresponding  $H_{\theta}$  matrix has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

BFGS

Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio There are three possible sources of nonsmoothness in the Crouzeix ratio  $\boldsymbol{f}$ 

- When the max value of  $|p(\zeta)|$  on  $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$  is attained at more than one point  $\zeta$  (the most important, as this frequently occurs at apparent minimizers)
- Even if such  $\zeta$  is unique, when the normalized vector v for which  $v^*Av = \zeta$  is not unique up to a scalar, implying that the maximum eigenvalue of the corresponding  $H_{\theta}$  matrix has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)
- When the maximum singular value of p(A) has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

BFGS

Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio There are three possible sources of nonsmoothness in the Crouzeix ratio  $\boldsymbol{f}$ 

- When the max value of  $|p(\zeta)|$  on  $\operatorname{bd} W(A)$  is attained at more than one point  $\zeta$  (the most important, as this frequently occurs at apparent minimizers)
- Even if such  $\zeta$  is unique, when the normalized vector v for which  $v^*Av = \zeta$  is not unique up to a scalar, implying that the maximum eigenvalue of the corresponding  $H_{\theta}$  matrix has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)
- When the maximum singular value of p(A) has multiplicity two or more (does not seem to occur at minimizers)

In all of these cases the gradient of f is not defined. But in practice, none of these cases ever occur, except the first one *in the limit*.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

#### BFGS

**Experiments** Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix

BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno, all independently in 1970), is the standard quasi-Newton algorithm for minimizing smooth (continuously differentiable) functions.

Concluding Remarks

Ratio



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

#### BFGS

**Experiments** Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno, all independently in 1970), is the standard quasi-Newton algorithm for minimizing smooth (continuously differentiable) functions.It works by building an approximation to the Hessian of the function using gradient differences, and has a well known superlinear convergence property under a regularity condition.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

#### BFGS

**Experiments** Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno, all independently in 1970), is the standard quasi-Newton algorithm for minimizing smooth (continuously differentiable) functions. It works by building an approximation to the Hessian of the function using gradient differences, and has a well known superlinear convergence property under a regularity condition. Although its global convergence theory is limited to the convex case (Powell, 1976), it generally finds local minimizers efficiently in the nonconvex case too, although there are pathological counterexamples.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio

#### BFGS

Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno, all independently in 1970), is the standard quasi-Newton algorithm for minimizing smooth (continuously differentiable) functions. It works by building an approximation to the Hessian of the function using gradient differences, and has a well known superlinear convergence property under a regularity condition. Although its global convergence theory is limited to the convex case (Powell, 1976), it generally finds local minimizers efficiently in the nonconvex case too, although there are pathological counterexamples.

Remarkably, this property seems to extend to nonsmooth functions too, with a linear rate of local convergence, although the convergence theory is extremely limited (Lewis and Overton, 2013). It builds a very ill conditioned "Hessian" approximation, with "infinitely large" curvature in some directions and finite curvature in other directions.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS

#### Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix

We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

Concluding Remarks

Ratio



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS

#### Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1Nonsmooth Analysis

Nonsmooth Analysi of the Crouzeix Ratio We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

For fixed n, optimize over A with order n and p of  $deg \le n - 1$ , running BFGS for a maximum of 1000 iterations from each of 100 randomly generated starting points.

18 / 39



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS

#### Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

For fixed n, optimize over A with order n and p of  $deg \le n - 1$ , running BFGS for a maximum of 1000 iterations from each of 100 randomly generated starting points.

We restrict p to have real coefficients and A to be real, in Hessenberg form (all but one superdiagonal is zero).



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS

#### Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

For fixed n, optimize over A with order n and p of  $deg \le n - 1$ , running BFGS for a maximum of 1000 iterations from each of 100 randomly generated starting points.

We restrict p to have real coefficients and A to be real, in Hessenberg form (all but one superdiagonal is zero).

We have obtained similar results for p with complex coefficients and complex A (then can take A to be triangular).



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS

#### Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio We have run many experiments searching for local minimizers of the Crouzeix ratio using BFGS.

For fixed n, optimize over A with order n and p of  $deg \le n - 1$ , running BFGS for a maximum of 1000 iterations from each of 100 randomly generated starting points.

We restrict p to have real coefficients and A to be real, in Hessenberg form (all but one superdiagonal is zero).

We have obtained similar results for p with complex coefficients and complex A (then can take A to be triangular).

We have also obtained similar results using Gradient Sampling (Burke, Lewis and Overton, 2005; Kiwiel 2007) instead of BFGS. This method has a very satisfactory convergence theory, but it is much slower.

# Optimizing over A (order n) and p (deg $\leq n-1$ )



Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Sorted final values of the Crouzeix ratio f found starting from 100 randomly generated initial points.

# Optimizing over A (order n) and p (deg $\leq n-1$ )



Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Sorted final values of the Crouzeix ratio f found starting from 100 randomly generated initial points. Suggests that only locally optimal values of f are 0.5 and 1.



### Final Fields of Values for Lowest Computed f

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p(deg  $\leq n - 1$ )

Final Fields of Values for Lowest Computed f

Optimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5 Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Solid blue curve is boundary of field of values of final computed ABlue asterisks are eigenvalues of final computed ASmall red circles are roots of final computed p



### Final Fields of Values for Lowest Computed f

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p(deg  $\leq n - 1$ )

Final Fields of Values for Lowest Computed f

Optimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5 Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Solid blue curve is boundary of field of values of final computed A Blue asterisks are eigenvalues of final computed A Small red circles are roots of final computed p n = 3, 4, 5: two eigenvalues of A and one root of p nearly coincident 20 / 39



# **Optimizing over both** p and A: Final f(p, A)

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p(deg  $\leq n - 1$ ) Final Fields of

Values for Lowest Computed f

Optimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)

Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio  $\begin{array}{c|cccc} n & f \\ \hline 3 & 0.5000000000000 \\ 4 & 0.5000000000000 \\ 5 & 0.500000000000014 \\ 6 & 0.50000017156953 \\ 7 & 0.500000746246673 \\ 8 & 0.500000206563813 \\ \end{array}$ 

f is the lowest value  $f(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{A})$  found over 100 runs

```
21 / 39
```



### Is the Ratio 0.5 Attained?

Crouzeix's
Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ Final Fields of Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger

Dimension n and

Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest

```
Computed f
Optimizing over
both p and A: Final
f(p, A)
```

#### Is the Ratio 0.5Attained?

```
Final Fields of
Values for f Closest
to 1
Why is the Crouzeix
Ratio One?
Results for Larger
Dimension n and
Degree n-1
```

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

# Is the Ratio 0.5 Attained?

Independently, Crabb, Choi and Crouzeix showed that the ratio 0.5 is attained if  $p(\zeta) = \zeta^{n-1}$  and A is the n by n matrix



for which W(A) is the unit disk.



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments Optimizing over A

(order n) and p(deg  $\leq n - 1$ )

Final Fields of

Values for Lowest

Computed f

Optimizing over both p and A: Final

f(p, A)

#### Is the Ratio 0.5 Attained?

Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

# Is the Ratio 0.5 Attained?

Independently, Crabb, Choi and Crouzeix showed that the ratio 0.5 is attained if  $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{n-1}$  and A is the n by n matrix



for which W(A) is the unit disk.

Our computed minimizers are nearly equivalent to such pairs (p, A)(with A changed via unitary similarity transformations, multiplication by a scalar, by shifting the root of p and eigenvalue of A by the same scalar, and by appending another diagonal block whose field of values is contained in that of the first block)



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)

#### Is the Ratio 0.5Attained?

Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

### Is the Ratio 0.5 Attained?

Independently, Crabb, Choi and Crouzeix showed that the ratio 0.5 is attained if  $p(\zeta) = \zeta^{n-1}$  and A is the n by n matrix



for which W(A) is the unit disk.

Our computed minimizers are nearly equivalent to such pairs (p, A)(with A changed via unitary similarity transformations, multiplication by a scalar, by shifting the root of p and eigenvalue of A by the same scalar, and by appending another diagonal block whose field of values is contained in that of the first block)

Conjecture: these are the *only* cases where f(p, A) = 0.5.


Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments

Optimizing over A(order n) and p(deg  $\leq n - 1$ ) Final Fields of Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)

#### Is the Ratio 0.5 Attained?

Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

# Is the Ratio 0.5 Attained?

Independently, Crabb, Choi and Crouzeix showed that the ratio 0.5 is attained if  $p(\zeta)=\zeta^{n-1}$  and A is the n by n matrix



for which W(A) is the unit disk.

Our computed minimizers are nearly equivalent to such pairs (p, A)(with A changed via unitary similarity transformations, multiplication by a scalar, by shifting the root of p and eigenvalue of A by the same scalar, and by appending another diagonal block whose field of values is contained in that of the first block)

Conjecture: these are the *only* cases where f(p, A) = 0.5.

f is nonsmooth at these pairs (p, A) because |p| is constant on the boundary of W(A).



#### Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ Final Fields of Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix

Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio





#### Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix

Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Ice cream cone shape:

exactly one eigenvalue at a vertex of the field of values



# Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One?



Nonsmooth

Experiments

BFGS

Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of

the Crouzeix Ratio

Optimizing over A

(order n) and p(deg < n - 1)

Final Fields of Values for Lowest

Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final

Is the Ratio 0.5

Values for f Closest

Why is the Crouzeix

Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

f(p, A)

Attained? Final Fields of

Ratio One?

to 1

Ratio

## Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One?

Because for this computed local minimizer, A is nearly unitarily similar to a block diagonal matrix

 $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, B), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ 

SO

 $W(A)\approx \operatorname{conv}(\lambda,W(B))$ 

with  $\lambda$  active and the block B inactive, that is:

 $\|p\|_{W(A)} \text{ is attained only at } \lambda$  $\|p(\lambda)| > \|p(B)\|_2$ 

Concluding Remarks

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix

```
24 / 39
```



Nonsmooth

**Experiments** 

BFGS

Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of

the Crouzeix Ratio

Optimizing over A

both p and A: Final

Values for f Closest

Why is the Crouzeix

Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

Is the Ratio 0.5

(order n) and p(deg  $\leq n - 1$ )

Final Fields of Values for Lowest

Computed fOptimizing over

f(p, A)

Attained? Final Fields of

Ratio One?

to 1

Ratio

### Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One?

Because for this computed local minimizer, A is nearly unitarily similar to a block diagonal matrix

 $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, B), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ 

SO

 $W(A)\approx \operatorname{conv}(\lambda,W(B))$ 

with  $\lambda$  active and the block B inactive, that is:

```
\|p\|_{W(A)} \text{ is attained only at } \lambda\|p(\lambda)\| > \|p(B)\|_2
```

```
So, ||p||_{W(A)} = |p(\lambda)| = ||p(A)||_2 and hence f(p, A) = 1.
```

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix

```
24 / 39
```



Nonsmooth

**Experiments** 

BFGS

Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of

the Crouzeix Ratio

Optimizing over A

both p and A: Final

Values for f Closest

Why is the Crouzeix

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix

Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n - 1

Is the Ratio 0.5

**Final Fields of** 

(order n) and p(deg  $\leq n - 1$ )

Final Fields of Values for Lowest

Computed fOptimizing over

f(p, A)

Attained?

Ratio One?

to 1

Ratio

# Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One?

Because for this computed local minimizer, A is nearly unitarily similar to a block diagonal matrix

 $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, B), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ 

SO

 $W(A)\approx \operatorname{conv}(\lambda,W(B))$ 

with  $\lambda$  active and the block B inactive, that is:

```
\|p\|_{W(A)} \text{ is attained only at } \lambda\|p(\lambda)\| > \|p(B)\|_2
```

So,  $||p||_{W(A)} = |p(\lambda)| = ||p(A)||_2$  and hence f(p, A) = 1.

Furthermore, f is differentiable at this pair (p, A), with zero gradient. Thus, such (p, A) is a *smooth* stationary point of f.



## Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One?

Because for this computed local minimizer, A is nearly unitarily similar to a block diagonal matrix

diag $(\lambda, B), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ 

SO

 $W(A) \approx \operatorname{conv}(\lambda, W(B))$ 

with  $\lambda$  active and the block B inactive, that is:

```
\|p\|_{W(A)} is attained only at \lambda
|p(\lambda)| > ||p(B)||_2
```

So,  $||p||_{W(A)} = |p(\lambda)| = ||p(A)||_2$  and hence f(p, A) = 1.

Furthermore, f is differentiable at this pair (p, A), with zero gradient. Thus, such (p, A) is a *smooth* stationary point of f.

This doesn't imply that it is a local minimizer, but the numerical results make this evident

the Crouzeix Ratio

#### BFGS

**Experiments** 

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth

Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of

Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? Final Fields of Values for f Closest to 1

#### Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Nonsmooth

Experiments

BFGS

Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of

the Crouzeix Ratio

Optimizing over A

both p and A: Final

Values for f Closest

Why is the Crouzeix

Results for Larger

Dimension n and Degree n-1

Is the Ratio 0.5

Final Fields of

(order n) and p(deg  $\leq n - 1$ )

Final Fields of Values for Lowest

Computed fOptimizing over

f(p, A)

Attained?

Ratio One?

to 1

Ratio

# Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One?

Because for this computed local minimizer, A is nearly unitarily similar to a block diagonal matrix

 $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, B), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ 

SO

 $W(A) \approx \operatorname{conv}(\lambda, W(B))$ 

with  $\lambda$  active and the block B inactive, that is:

```
\|p\|_{W(A)} \text{ is attained only at } \lambda\|p(\lambda)\| > \|p(B)\|_2
```

So,  $\|p\|_{W(A)} = |p(\lambda)| = \|p(A)\|_2$  and hence f(p, A) = 1.

Furthermore, f is differentiable at this pair (p, A), with zero gradient. Thus, such (p, A) is a *smooth* stationary point of f.

This doesn't imply that it is a local minimizer, but the numerical results make this evident.

As n increases, ice cream cone stationary points become increasingly common and it becomes very difficult to reduce f below 1.

#### **Concluding Remarks**

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix

```
24 / 39
```



#### Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS **Experiments** Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** 

Final Fields of Values for *f* Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger

Dimension n and Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Sorted final values of the Crouzeix ratio f found starting from **many** randomly generated initial points.



#### Results for Larger Dimension n and Degree n-1

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio Nonsmoothness of the Crouzeix Ratio BFGS Experiments Optimizing over A(order n) and p $(\deg \leq n-1)$ **Final Fields of** Values for Lowest Computed fOptimizing over both p and A: Final f(p, A)Is the Ratio 0.5Attained? **Final Fields of** 

Values for f Closest to 1 Why is the Crouzeix Ratio One? Results for Larger Dimension n and

Degree n-1

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

n=10 n=12 n=9 1.1 1.1 1.1 1 1 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.4 0 100 200 300 400 500 ٠ ٥ 100 200 300 400 0 2000 4000 6000 8000 n=14 n=15 n=16 1.1 1.1 1.1 1 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.4 1000 3000 1000 2000 3000 2000 0 2000 0 500 1000 1500 0

Sorted final values of the Crouzeix ratio f found starting from **many** randomly generated initial points. There **are** other locally optimal values of f between 0.5 and 1 !



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential

The Gradient or Subgradients of the

Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity

Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is

Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

#### Concluding Remarks

# Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio

Globally Clarke Regular?

Concluding Remarks

Assume  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz, and let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h \text{ is differentiable at } x\}.$ 



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio **Globally Clarke** Regular?

Assume  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz, and let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h \text{ is differentiable at } x\}.$ Rademacher's Theorem:  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D$  has measure zero.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where

Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

Concluding Remarks

Assume  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz, and let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h \text{ is differentiable at } x\}.$ Rademacher's Theorem:  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D$  has measure zero.

The Clarke subdifferential, or set of subgradients, of h at  $\bar{x}$  is

$$\partial h(\bar{x}) = \operatorname{conv} \left\{ \lim_{x \to \bar{x}, x \in D} \nabla h(x) \right\}.$$



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or

Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where

Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  The General Case

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio

Globally Clarke Regular? Assume  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz, and let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h \text{ is differentiable at } x\}.$ Rademacher's Theorem:  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D$  has measure zero.

The Clarke subdifferential, or set of subgradients, of h at  $\bar{x}$  is

$$\partial h(\bar{x}) = \operatorname{conv} \left\{ \lim_{x \to \bar{x}, x \in D} \nabla h(x) \right\}.$$

F.H. Clarke, 1973 (he used the name "generalized gradient").



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential

The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where

Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

Concluding Remarks

Assume  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz, and let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h \text{ is differentiable at } x\}.$ Rademacher's Theorem:  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D$  has measure zero.

The Clarke subdifferential, or set of subgradients, of h at  $\bar{x}$  is

$$\partial h(\bar{x}) = \operatorname{conv} \left\{ \lim_{x \to \bar{x}, x \in D} \nabla h(x) \right\}.$$

F.H. Clarke, 1973 (he used the name "generalized gradient"). If h is continuously differentiable at  $\bar{x}$ , then  $\partial h(\bar{x}) = \{\nabla h(\bar{x})\}$ .



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or

Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where

Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? Assume  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz, and let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h \text{ is differentiable at } x\}$ . Rademacher's Theorem:  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D$  has measure zero.

The Clarke subdifferential, or set of subgradients, of h at  $\bar{x}$  is

$$\partial h(\bar{x}) = \operatorname{conv} \left\{ \lim_{x \to \bar{x}, x \in D} \nabla h(x) \right\}.$$

F.H. Clarke, 1973 (he used the name "generalized gradient"). If h is continuously differentiable at  $\bar{x}$ , then  $\partial h(\bar{x}) = \{\nabla h(\bar{x})\}$ . If h is convex,  $\partial h$  is the subdifferential of convex analysis.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or

Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where

Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth

Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? Assume  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz, and let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h \text{ is differentiable at } x\}.$ Rademacher's Theorem:  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D$  has measure zero.

The Clarke subdifferential, or set of subgradients, of h at  $\bar{x}$  is

$$\partial h(\bar{x}) = \operatorname{conv} \left\{ \lim_{x \to \bar{x}, x \in D} \nabla h(x) \right\}.$$

F.H. Clarke, 1973 (he used the name "generalized gradient"). If h is continuously differentiable at  $\bar{x}$ , then  $\partial h(\bar{x}) = \{\nabla h(\bar{x})\}$ . If h is convex,  $\partial h$  is the subdifferential of convex analysis. We say  $\bar{x}$  is *Clarke stationary* for h if  $0 \in \partial h(\bar{x})$  (a nonsmooth stationary point if  $\in \partial h(\bar{x})$  contains more than one vector)



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the

Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is

Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? Assume  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz, and let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h \text{ is differentiable at } x\}.$ Rademacher's Theorem:  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D$  has measure zero.

The Clarke subdifferential, or set of subgradients, of h at  $\bar{x}$  is

$$\partial h(\bar{x}) = \operatorname{conv} \left\{ \lim_{x \to \bar{x}, x \in D} \nabla h(x) \right\}.$$

F.H. Clarke, 1973 (he used the name "generalized gradient"). If h is continuously differentiable at  $\bar{x}$ , then  $\partial h(\bar{x}) = \{\nabla h(\bar{x})\}$ . If h is convex,  $\partial h$  is the subdifferential of convex analysis. We say  $\bar{x}$  is *Clarke stationary* for h if  $0 \in \partial h(\bar{x})$  (a nonsmooth stationary point if  $\in \partial h(\bar{x})$  contains more than one vector) Clarke stationarity is a necessary condition for local or global optimality.



# The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

For the numerator, we need the variational properties of  $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke

Regular?



# The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

For the numerator, we need the variational properties of  $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

• the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t. the coefficients of p

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?



# The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

For the numerator, we need the variational properties of  $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

• the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t. the coefficients of p

• the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t.  $z_{\theta}$ 

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth

Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

For the numerator, we need the variational properties of  $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t. the coefficients of p
- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t.  $z_{\theta}$
- the gradient of  $z_{\theta}(A) = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}$  w.r.t. A



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio **Globally Clarke** Regular?

The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio For the numerator, we need the variational properties of

 $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t. the coefficients of p
- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t.  $z_{\theta}$

• the gradient of 
$$z_{\theta}(A) = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}$$
 w.r.t.  $A$ 

If the max of  $|p(z_{\theta})|$  is attained by a unique point  $\hat{\theta}$ , then all these are evaluated at  $\hat{\theta}$  and combined with the gradient of  $|\cdot|$ to obtain the gradient of the numerator.



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

For the numerator, we need the variational properties of  $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t. the coefficients of p
- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t.  $z_{\theta}$
- the gradient of  $z_{\theta}(A) = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}$  w.r.t. A

If the max of  $|p(z_{\theta})|$  is attained by a unique point  $\hat{\theta}$ , then all these are evaluated at  $\hat{\theta}$  and combined with the gradient of  $|\cdot|$ to obtain the gradient of the numerator.

Otherwise, need to take the *convex hull* of these gradients over all maximizing  $\theta$  to get the subgradients of the numerator.



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $\begin{array}{l} (\hat{c},\,\hat{A}) \text{ is a} \\ \text{Nonsmooth} \\ \text{Stationary Point of} \\ f(\cdot,\,\cdot) \\ \text{The General Case} \\ (\hat{c},\,\hat{A}) \text{ is a} \\ \text{Nonsmooth} \\ \text{Stationary Point of} \\ f(\cdot,\,\cdot) \end{array}$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

For the numerator, we need the variational properties of  $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t. the coefficients of p
- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t.  $z_{\theta}$
- the gradient of  $z_{\theta}(A) = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}$  w.r.t. A

If the max of  $|p(z_{\theta})|$  is attained by a unique point  $\hat{\theta}$ , then all these are evaluated at  $\hat{\theta}$  and combined with the gradient of  $|\cdot|$ to obtain the gradient of the numerator.

Otherwise, need to take the *convex hull* of these gradients over all maximizing  $\theta$  to get the subgradients of the numerator.

For the denominator, combine:



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

For the numerator, we need the variational properties of  $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t. the coefficients of p
- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t.  $z_{\theta}$
- the gradient of  $z_{\theta}(A) = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}$  w.r.t. A

If the max of  $|p(z_{\theta})|$  is attained by a unique point  $\hat{\theta}$ , then all these are evaluated at  $\hat{\theta}$  and combined with the gradient of  $|\cdot|$ to obtain the gradient of the numerator.

Otherwise, need to take the *convex hull* of these gradients over all maximizing  $\theta$  to get the subgradients of the numerator.

For the denominator, combine:

the gradient or subgradients of the 2-norm (maximum singular value) of a matrix (involves the singular vectors)



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke

The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

For the numerator, we need the variational properties of  $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t. the coefficients of p
- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t.  $z_{\theta}$
- the gradient of  $z_{\theta}(A) = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}$  w.r.t. A

If the max of  $|p(z_{\theta})|$  is attained by a unique point  $\hat{\theta}$ , then all these are evaluated at  $\hat{\theta}$  and combined with the gradient of  $|\cdot|$ to obtain the gradient of the numerator.

Otherwise, need to take the *convex hull* of these gradients over all maximizing  $\theta$  to get the subgradients of the numerator.

For the denominator, combine:

- the gradient or subgradients of the 2-norm (maximum singular value) of a matrix (involves the singular vectors)
- the gradient of the matrix polynomial p(A) w.r.t. A (involves differentiating  $A^k$  w.r.t. A, resulting in Kronecker products).

Regular?



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

For the numerator, we need the variational properties of  $\max_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |p(z_{\theta})| \quad \text{where} \quad z_{\theta} = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}.$ 

- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t. the coefficients of p
- the gradient of  $p(z_{\theta})$  w.r.t.  $z_{\theta}$
- the gradient of  $z_{\theta}(A) = v_{\theta}^* A v_{\theta}$  w.r.t. A

If the max of  $|p(z_{\theta})|$  is attained by a unique point  $\hat{\theta}$ , then all these are evaluated at  $\hat{\theta}$  and combined with the gradient of  $|\cdot|$ to obtain the gradient of the numerator.

Otherwise, need to take the *convex hull* of these gradients over all maximizing  $\theta$  to get the subgradients of the numerator.

For the denominator, combine:

- the gradient or subgradients of the 2-norm (maximum singular value) of a matrix (involves the singular vectors)
- the gradient of the matrix polynomial p(A) w.r.t. A (involves differentiating  $A^k$  w.r.t. A, resulting in Kronecker products).

Finally, use the quotient rule.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

#### Regularity

Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? A directionally differentiable, locally Lipschitz function h is *regular* (in the sense of Clarke, 1975) near a point x when its directional derivative  $x \mapsto h'(x; d)$  is upper semicontinuous there for every fixed direction d.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

#### Regularity

Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? A directionally differentiable, locally Lipschitz function h is *regular* (in the sense of Clarke, 1975) near a point x when its directional derivative  $x \mapsto h'(x; d)$  is upper semicontinuous there for every fixed direction d.

In this case  $0 \in \partial h(x)$  is equivalent to the first-order optimality condition  $h'(x, d) \ge 0$  for all directions d.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity

Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? A directionally differentiable, locally Lipschitz function h is *regular* (in the sense of Clarke, 1975) near a point x when its directional derivative  $x \mapsto h'(x; d)$  is upper semicontinuous there for every fixed direction d.

In this case  $0 \in \partial h(x)$  is equivalent to the first-order optimality condition  $h'(x, d) \ge 0$  for all directions d.

All convex functions are regular



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or

Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity

Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? A directionally differentiable, locally Lipschitz function h is *regular* (in the sense of Clarke, 1975) near a point x when its directional derivative  $x \mapsto h'(x; d)$  is upper semicontinuous there for every fixed direction d.

In this case  $0 \in \partial h(x)$  is equivalent to the first-order optimality condition  $h'(x, d) \ge 0$  for all directions d.

- All convex functions are regular
- All continuously differentiable functions are regular



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or

Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity

Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio

Globally Clarke Regular? A directionally differentiable, locally Lipschitz function h is regular (in the sense of Clarke, 1975) near a point x when its directional derivative  $x \mapsto h'(x; d)$  is upper semicontinuous there for every fixed direction d.

In this case  $0 \in \partial h(x)$  is equivalent to the first-order optimality condition  $h'(x, d) \ge 0$  for all directions d.

- All convex functions are regular
- All continuously differentiable functions are regular

Nonsmooth concave functions, e.g. h(x) = -|x|, are not regular.



# Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? Optimize over complex monic linear polynomials  $p(\zeta) \equiv c + \zeta$ and complex matrices with order n = 2. Let  $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$ , where now  $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$ .


# Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity

Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? Optimize over complex monic linear polynomials  $p(\zeta) \equiv c + \zeta$ and complex matrices with order n = 2. Let  $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$ , where now  $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\hat{c} = 0$   $(\hat{p}(\zeta) = \zeta)$  and  $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$ , the unit disk, and hence  $|p(\zeta)|$  is maximized everywhere on the unit circle, with f nonsmooth at  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  and  $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$ .



# Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio

Regularity

Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular? Optimize over complex monic linear polynomials  $p(\zeta) \equiv c + \zeta$ and complex matrices with order n = 2. Let  $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$ , where now  $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\hat{c} = 0$   $(\hat{p}(\zeta) = \zeta)$  and  $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$ , the unit disk, and hence  $|p(\zeta)|$  is maximized everywhere on the unit circle, with f nonsmooth at  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  and  $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$ .

**Theorem 3.** The Crouzeix ratio f is regular at  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$ , with

$$\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = \operatorname{conv}_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\theta}, \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\theta} & 0\\ e^{-2i\theta} & e^{-i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c},\hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

Concluding Remarks

Corollary.

 $0\in \partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$ 



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

### Corollary.

$$0\in \partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$$

Proof: the vectors inside the convex hull defined by  $\theta = 0$ ,  $2\pi/3$  and  $4\pi/3$  sum to zero.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity

Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

### Corollary.

 $0\in \partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$ 

Proof: the vectors inside the convex hull defined by  $\theta = 0$ ,  $2\pi/3$  and  $4\pi/3$  sum to zero.

Actually, we knew this must be true as Crouzeix's conjecture is known to hold for n = 2, and hence  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a global minimizer of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ , but we can extend the result to larger values of m, n, for which we don't know whether the conjecture holds.



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

### **The General Case**

Optimize over complex polynomials  $p(\zeta) \equiv c_0 + \cdots + c_m \zeta^m$  and complex matrices with order n. Let  $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$ , where  $f : \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

### The General Case

Optimize over complex polynomials  $p(\zeta) \equiv c_0 + \cdots + c_m \zeta^m$  and complex matrices with order n. Let  $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$ , where  $f : \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\hat{c} = [0, 0, \dots, 1]$ , corresponding to the polynomial  $z^{n-1}$ , and  $\hat{A}$  equal the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order n so  $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$ , the unit disk, and hence  $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$ .



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{r}, \hat{r})$ 

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

## **The General Case**

Optimize over complex polynomials  $p(\zeta) \equiv c_0 + \cdots + c_m \zeta^m$  and complex matrices with order n. Let  $f(p, A) \equiv f(c, A)$ , where  $f : \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\hat{c} = [0, 0, \dots, 1]$ , corresponding to the polynomial  $z^{n-1}$ , and  $\hat{A}$  equal the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order n so  $W(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{D}$ , the unit disk, and hence  $f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = 1/2$ .

**Theorem 4.** The Crouzeix ratio on  $(c, A) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is regular at  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  with

$$\partial f(\hat{c}, \hat{A}) = \operatorname{conv}_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\{ \left( y_{\theta}, Y_{\theta} \right) \right\}$$

where

$$y_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ z^m, z^{m-1}, \dots, z, 0 \right]^T$$

and  $Y_{\theta} \ n \times n$  matrix

$$Y_{\theta} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & \sqrt{2}z^{-1} & \sqrt{2}z^{-2} & \cdots & \sqrt{2}z^{3-n} & z^{2-n} \\ \sqrt{2}z^2 & 2z & 0 & 2z^{-1} & \cdots & 2z^{4-n} & \sqrt{2}z^{3-n} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \sqrt{2}z^{n-2} & 2z^{n-3} & 2z^{n-4} & 2z^{n-5} & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{2}z \\ \sqrt{2}z^{n-1} & 2z^{n-2} & 2z^{n-3} & 2z^{n-4} & \cdots & 2z & 0 \\ z^n & \sqrt{2}z^{n-1} & \sqrt{2}z^{n-2} & \sqrt{2}z^{n-3} & \cdots & \sqrt{2}z^2 & z \end{bmatrix}$$
  
with  $z = e^{-i\theta}$ .



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

Concluding Remarks

### Corollary.

f.

 $0\in\partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$ 

so, for any n, the pair  $(\hat{c},\hat{A})$  is a nonsmooth stationary point of



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

Concluding Remarks

## Corollary.

 $0\in \partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$ 

so, for any n, the pair  $(\hat{c},\hat{A})$  is a nonsmooth stationary point of f.

## **Proof.** The convex combination

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( y_{2k\pi/(n+1)}, Y_{2k\pi/(n+1)} \right)$$

is zero.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

Concluding Remarks

## Corollary.

 $0\in \partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$ 

so, for any n, the pair  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a nonsmooth stationary point of f.

**Proof.** The convex combination

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( y_{2k\pi/(n+1)}, Y_{2k\pi/(n+1)} \right)$$

is zero.

This is a necessary condition for  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  to be a local (or global) minimizer of f on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . This is a new result for n > 2.



Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

The General Case

 $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

#### Concluding Remarks

### Corollary.

 $0\in \partial f(\hat{c},\hat{A})$ 

so, for any n, the pair  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a nonsmooth stationary point of f.

### **Proof.** The convex combination

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( y_{2k\pi/(n+1)}, Y_{2k\pi/(n+1)} \right)$$

#### is zero.

This is a necessary condition for  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  to be a local (or global) minimizer of f on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . This is a new result for n > 2. And by regularity, it implies that the directional derivative  $f'(\cdot, d) \ge 0$  for all directions d.



# Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

Crouzeix's Conjecture	
Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio	
Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio	
The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio	
Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth	
$(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$ is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of $f(\cdot, \cdot)$	
The General Case $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$ is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of	
$f(\cdot, \cdot)$ Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?	



# Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

## No. Let $\tilde{p}(\zeta) = \zeta$ and

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a

Nonsmooth Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

ls the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

Concluding Remarks

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for which  $W(\tilde{A})$  is a disk and  $f(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A}) = 1/\sqrt{2}$ . The Crouzeix ratio f is not regular at  $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A})$ .



# Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

## No. Let $\tilde{p}(\zeta) = \zeta$ and

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio The Clarke Subdifferential The Gradient or Subgradients of the Crouzeix Ratio Regularity Simplest Case where Crouzeix Ratio is Nonsmooth  $(\hat{c}, \hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth Stationary Point of  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ The General Case

 $(\hat{c},\hat{A})$  is a Nonsmooth

Stationary Point of

 $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

Is the Crouzeix Ratio Globally Clarke Regular?

Concluding Remarks

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for which  $W(\tilde{A})$  is a disk and  $f(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A}) = 1/\sqrt{2}$ . The Crouzeix ratio f is not regular at  $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A})$ .



Plot of the denominator  $\beta$ , the numerator  $\tau$  and the Crouzeix ratio f evaluated at  $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A} + t\tilde{A}^2)$ ,  $t \in [-2, 2]$ .



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

**Concluding Remarks** 

Summary Our Papers Best Wishes to Don Using Chebfun

Or, More Circularly



**Summary** 

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Summary

Our Papers Best Wishes to Don Using Chebfun Or, More Circularly Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio f over p and A, BFGS almost always converged either to *nonsmooth stationary* values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or *smooth stationary* values of 1 (with "ice cream cone" fields of values).

#### 36 / 39



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Summary

Our Papers Best Wishes to Don Using Chebfun Or, More Circularly Summary

Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio f over p and A, BFGS almost always converged either to *nonsmooth stationary* values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or *smooth stationary* values of 1 (with "ice cream cone" fields of values).

Both Chebfun and BFGS perform remarkably reliably despite nonsmoothness that can occur either in the boundary of the field of values (w.r.t. the complex plane) or in the Crouzeix ratio f(w.r.t the polynomial-matrix space).



Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Our Papers Best Wishes to Don Using Chebfun Or, More Circularly

## Summary

Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio f over p and A, BFGS almost always converged either to *nonsmooth stationary* values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or *smooth stationary* values of 1 (with "ice cream cone" fields of values).

Both Chebfun and BFGS perform remarkably reliably despite nonsmoothness that can occur either in the boundary of the field of values (w.r.t. the complex plane) or in the Crouzeix ratio f(w.r.t the polynomial-matrix space).

Using nonsmooth variational analysis, we proved *regularity* and *Clarke stationarity* of the Crouzeix ratio, with value 0.5, at pairs  $(\hat{p}, \hat{A})$ , where  $\hat{p}$  is the monomial  $\zeta^{n-1}$  and  $\hat{A}$  is aCrabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order n, a necessary condition for local or global optimality.



Summary

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Our Papers Best Wishes to Don Using Chebfun Or, More Circularly Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio f over p and A, BFGS almost always converged either to *nonsmooth stationary* values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or *smooth stationary* values of 1 (with "ice cream cone" fields of values).

Both Chebfun and BFGS perform remarkably reliably despite nonsmoothness that can occur either in the boundary of the field of values (w.r.t. the complex plane) or in the Crouzeix ratio f(w.r.t the polynomial-matrix space).

Using nonsmooth variational analysis, we proved *regularity* and *Clarke stationarity* of the Crouzeix ratio, with value 0.5, at pairs  $(\hat{p}, \hat{A})$ , where  $\hat{p}$  is the monomial  $\zeta^{n-1}$  and  $\hat{A}$  is aCrabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order n, a necessary condition for local or global optimality.

We also found  $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A})$  for which the Crouzeix ratio is *not regular*.



Summary

cone" fields of values).

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks

Our Papers Best Wishes to Don Using Chebfun Or, More Circularly Minimizing the Crouzeix ratio f over p and A, BFGS almost always converged either to *nonsmooth stationary* values of 0.5 associated with the Crabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix (with field of values a disk), or *smooth stationary* values of 1 (with "ice cream

Both Chebfun and BFGS perform remarkably reliably despite nonsmoothness that can occur either in the boundary of the field of values (w.r.t. the complex plane) or in the Crouzeix ratio f(w.r.t the polynomial-matrix space).

Using nonsmooth variational analysis, we proved *regularity* and *Clarke stationarity* of the Crouzeix ratio, with value 0.5, at pairs  $(\hat{p}, \hat{A})$ , where  $\hat{p}$  is the monomial  $\zeta^{n-1}$  and  $\hat{A}$  is aCrabb-Choi-Crouzeix matrix of order n, a necessary condition for local or global optimality.

We also found  $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{A})$  for which the Crouzeix ratio is *not regular*.

The results strongly support Crouzeix's conjecture: the globally minimal value of the Crouzeix ratio f(p, A) is 0.5.



## **Our Papers**

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks Summary

Our Papers

Best Wishes to Don Using Chebfun Or, More Circularly A. Greenbaum and M.L. Overton Investigation of Crouzeix's Conjecture via Nonsmooth Optimization Linear Alg. Appl., 2017

A. Greenbaum, A.S. Lewis and M.L. OvertonVariational Analysis of the Crouzeix RatioMath. Programming, 2016

A.S. Lewis and M.L. Overton *Nonsmooth Optimization via Quasi-Newton Methods* Math. Programming, 2013



### A Chebfun Message to Don

Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks Summary Our Papers Best Wishes to Don

Using Chebfun

Or, More Circularly

% define and plot a chebfun with 338 pieces s=scribble('Felicitaciones y mis mejores deseos para Don'); plot(s,'b','LineWidth',2), axis equal





## **Or, More Circularly**

#### Crouzeix's Conjecture

Nonsmooth Optimization of the Crouzeix Ratio

Nonsmooth Analysis of the Crouzeix Ratio

Concluding Remarks Summary Our Papers Best Wishes to Don Using Chebfun

Or, More Circularly



